

**From:** [Bettina Cohen](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [sbrmbna@gmail.com](mailto:sbrmbna@gmail.com); [mbn@mbneighbors.org](mailto:mbn@mbneighbors.org)  
**Subject:** SOUTHERN STATION SUPPORT BURIED  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 9:51:57 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie and SFPD Police Commission Staff,

It is disturbing and disappointing to see a growing number of letters to the Police Commission **SUPPORTING THE SOUTHERN STATION BOUNDARIES AS DRAWN IN THE FINAL MAP** buried in the 30-day correspondence to the Police Commission web page.

When someone views the page at a glance, they see a fair number of individual letters supporting the Tenderloin's point of view, as shown by the subject lines. By contrast, the unsuspecting public glancing at this sees only one with the subject line, **"Support for Keeping Southern District Borders Intact"**.

There is one growing file with a subject line – **"Multiple Letters to the Commission re SFPD District Boundaries"**. Indeed, this one file contains a cache of letters, not necessarily with the same point of view. It is a growing cache that includes my own letter that I submitted yesterday.

Open this file, and the first 2 letters are – Surprise! Surprise! -- Letters supporting the Tenderloin's position.

**DIG BEYOND THE FIRST 2 LETTERS AND YOU FIND A STREAM OF LETTERS SUPPORTING THE SOUTHERN STATION BOUNDARIES REMAINING AS IS.**

This doesn't seem to me like an accident, but regardless of whether it's deliberate or accidental, it serves to conceal the point of view of people who have written in with a position that differs from that held by a coalition of groups ahead of tomorrow's Police Commission meeting.

Was this done at the direction of any of the Commissioners? Who made the decision to bury a growing number of letters from Southern Station constituents? Or put differently, to lump together a bunch of letters so that the public cannot see all of the support for the Southern District boundaries?

**PLEASE REPOST THESE LETTERS SO THAT EACH LETTER SUPPORTING SOUTHERN STATION BOUNDARIES ARE SHOWN**

## **INDIVIDUALLY TO THE PUBLIC.**

**Please post my Letter to the Police Commission subject-lined “SUPPORT FOR KEEPING SOUTHERN STATION BOUNDARIES AS DRAWN ON THE FINAL MAP” BY ITSELF!**

I spent a fair amount of time stating my point of view and including facts to back up my position, and it merits its own individual spot on the web page, SAME AS THE INDIVIDUAL LETTERS FROM FOLKS IN THE TENDERLOIN.

Incidentally, I do not see the letter that South Beach Rincon Mission Bay Neighborhood Association sent to the Police Commission on July 11 posted in its own spot, although multiple letters within the bunched-up cache of letters cite this very letter. This omission in itself is alarming. Why was this letter not posted in its own spot as of yesterday?

Thank you,  
Bettina Cohen,  
Resident of the Southern Station