

#3

-----Original Message-----

Sent: Friday, February 13, 2026 11:51 PM

To: Commission, Elections (REG) <[elections.commission@sfgov.org](mailto:elections.commission@sfgov.org)>

Subject: Public Comment on Item 5 - Compensation increase in budget

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Election Commission,

Below is my public comment regarding Item 5 on the 2/18 meeting agenda. Please share with commissions, don't have their emails.

The memo shared at the last meeting notes that the maximum salary for the "0932 Manager IV classification (\$216,710) is approximately 7% higher than that of the 0952 Deputy Director II classification (\$201,942)", even though both are described as comparable executive-level roles. While it is stated that the immediate fiscal impact would be minimal, this change establishes a higher compensation ceiling and increases long-term salary potential for a senior leadership position funded by public resources.

At a time when departments across the City are being asked to manage limited resources responsibly, an 7% pay raise is crazy. Additionally, the Director has indicated an intention to hire another Deputy Director. If the department will already have two Deputy Directors, it is unclear why a reclassification to a higher-paid executive role is necessary. A structure that includes multiple Deputy Directors should already provide sufficient leadership capacity, continuity, and operational support without requiring an increase in classification or salary range.

Also, the department only cutting \$50,000 for the next year is a joke.

Please closely evaluate whether this change is needed and whether it aligns with principles of fiscal responsibility, organizational balance, and effective oversight.

Thank you for your time.