

DGO 5.14 Public Comment Joint Responses

Public Review Period: 3/3/26 - 4/15/26

#	Public Comment	Date Received	SFPD response	SFPD Explanation	DPA Explanation
R1	In the definition of Interagency Operation, does that include interagency with SF depts? For example, for RESET, would our operations with the Sheriff and DPH every time someone is brought to RESET have to follow this DGO?	3/3/26	Administrative Question and Answer-not for inclusion in DGO	This policy applies to external agencies (any entity that isn't SFPD) in which SFPD does not have an active MOU.	DPA concurs with the SFPD explanation given the included definition of <i>outside agency</i> .
R2	In 5.14.04.A.1, change "involving SFPD and an outside agency" to "that is an interagency operation"	3/3/26	Recommendation has been included in draft DGO	5.14.04.A.1 now reads, "Prior to any interagency operation,..."	DPA concurs with the recommendation and the proposed revision.
R3	The form in 5.14.04.A.1, where does the Captain or member send it? Who keeps these forms on file? How is Command Staff notified, like in a situation like the Superbowl, Command Staff should be made aware.	3/3/26	Administrative Question and Answer-not for inclusion in DGO	These are administrative questions and are not appropriate for placement in a DGO. Captains/Captain's staff are aware of notification protocols and recordkeeping.	No additional comment.
R4	The last two sentences of 5.14.04.A.2 conflict. Make clearer the distinction between these two.	3/3/26	Recommendation has been included in draft DGO	5.14.04.A.2 now reads, "a. For SFPD-led interagency operations, information should be included in an Operations Order. For on-going operations and/or outside agency-led operations, an Operations Order may not be required."	DPA concurs with the recommendation and the proposed revision.
R5	What to do in exigent circumstances? in B2, who is filling out the form? In what instances is an Operations Order required? In what instances is Command Staff (A2) or Commander notified (C3)?	3/3/26	Recommendation will be modified and included in the draft DGO	The exigency will dictate the needed response as they are circumstances that require deviation from standard procedures. 5.14.04(B)(3) has been updated to read, "Form shall be prepared by a member in the designated unit..." Commanders have the discretion to notify Deputy Chiefs.	DPA concurs with the proposed revisions. However, the public comment identifies potential ambiguity in the Time Sensitive Operations section. The policy could be strengthened by defining "exigent circumstances" or "exigency" and clarifying the procedures governing emergency approvals.
R6	Is there a supervisor or unit that is a direct contact for our agency for any outside agency. If so that should be included in regards for a point of contact	3/5/26	Recommendation will not be included in Draft DGO	There is not a direct contact for interagency operations as this is or can be Department-wide.	No additional comment.

DGO 5.14 Public Comment Joint Responses
Public Review Period: 3/3/26 - 4/15/26

#	Public Comment	Date Received	SFPD response	SFPD Explanation	DPA Explanation
R7	[In B-2], I looked at the SFPD interagency form and no "Verbal notification" box is on the form yet. The form needs to be updated so that section can be applicable.	3/17/26	Recommendation will not be included in Draft DGO	The updated form including the "verbal notification box" will be available to members once this updated DGO is adopted by the Police Commission and issued to members.	No additional comment.