



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Form is required.

Office Use Only
AUG 17 AM 10:37
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument **E**

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☒

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☐

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Scott Feeney

Title (If Applicable) Secretary

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature → [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) Democratic Socialists of America - San Francisco

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☒

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature → [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Scott Feeney

Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

Signature → [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	NO ON F — FAILS TO SOLVE CORRUPTION. EMPOWERS BILLIONAIRES. Proponents say they want to stop corruption. But if that's the assignment, Prop F gets an F. It concentrates power in the executive, stripping away checks and balances. That will only <i>enable</i> corruption - just look at Washington. PROP F GIVES MAYORS SWEEPING NEW POWERS to alter departments' core objectives, merge and eliminate departments without a single public hearing. Even if you trust this Mayor, Prop F puts this power in the hands of all future Mayors. A Mayor could also fire appointed commissioners without cause, undermining independent citizen oversight. MAYORS CAN ALREADY EASILY REMOVE CORRUPT OFFICIALS. They need only demonstrate misconduct or convince a majority of the Board of Supervisors. But Prop F lets the Mayor <i>unilaterally</i> remove public servants who've done nothing wrong. That's a recipe for politically-motivated removals of whistleblowers who would <i>expose</i> corruption. BILLIONAIRES BACK PROP F FOR A REASON. Local tech billionaires spent over \$8 million to get Propositions D, E, and F on the ballot. The ultra-rich rarely bankroll campaigns out of the goodness of their hearts. Like the billionaires funding our President's White House ballroom, they expect something in return. Prop F makes the Mayor's office a one-stop shop for them to buy influence and override democratic input. Prop F deserves a failing grade. Vote no. <i>Democratic Socialists of America - San Francisco</i> <i>Jackie Fielder, San Francisco Supervisor*</i> <i>Dean Preston, Former San Francisco Supervisor*</i> <i>Theresa Imperial, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner*</i> <i>Sophia Andary, Commissioner, San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women*</i>	
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
Total Word Count		

Office Use Only			
Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication fee =	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature		Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☒

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Paid Argument Against ☐

Office Use Only
FILED 2025 AUG 17 AM 10:37 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp
Label

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Jackie Fielder

Title (If Applicable) Supervisor

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature 

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☒
if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;


- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) _____ Title (If Applicable) _____

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) _____

Signature  _____ Email _____

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) _____

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature  _____ Email _____

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	NO ON F — FAILS TO SOLVE CORRUPTION. EMPOWERS BILLIONAIRES. Proponents say they want to stop corruption. But if that's the assignment, Prop F gets an F. It concentrates power in the executive, stripping away checks and balances. That will only <i>enable</i> corruption - just look at Washington. PROP F GIVES MAYORS SWEEPING NEW POWERS to alter departments' core objectives, merge and eliminate departments without a single public hearing. Even if you trust this Mayor, Prop F puts this power in the hands of all future Mayors. A Mayor could also fire appointed commissioners without cause, undermining independent citizen oversight. MAYORS CAN ALREADY EASILY REMOVE CORRUPT OFFICIALS. They need only demonstrate misconduct or convince a majority of the Board of Supervisors. But Prop F lets the Mayor <i>unilaterally</i> remove public servants who've done nothing wrong. That's a recipe for politically-motivated removals of whistleblowers who would <i>expose</i> corruption. BILLIONAIRES BACK PROP F FOR A REASON. Local tech billionaires spent over \$8 million to get Propositions D, E, and F on the ballot. The ultra-rich rarely bankroll campaigns out of the goodness of their hearts. Like the billionaires funding our President's White House ballroom, they expect something in return. Prop F makes the Mayor's office a one-stop shop for them to buy influence and override democratic input. Prop F deserves a failing grade. Vote no. <i>Democratic Socialists of America - San Francisco</i> <i>Jackie Fielder, San Francisco Supervisor*</i> <i>Dean Preston, Former San Francisco Supervisor*</i> <i>Theresa Imperial, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner*</i> <i>Sophia Andary, Commissioner, San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women*</i>	
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
Total Word Count		



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☒

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Paid Argument Against ☐

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) DEAN PRESTON

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature 

Email

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

Office Use Only
2025 AUG 17 AM 10:37 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp
Label

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature  Email

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature  Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	NO ON F — FAILS TO SOLVE CORRUPTION. EMPOWERS BILLIONAIRES. Proponents say they want to stop corruption. But if that's the assignment, Prop F gets an F. It concentrates power in the executive, stripping away checks and balances. That will only <i>enable</i> corruption - just look at Washington. PROP F GIVES MAYORS SWEEPING NEW POWERS to alter departments' core objectives, merge and eliminate departments without a single public hearing. Even if you trust this Mayor, Prop F puts this power in the hands of all future Mayors. A Mayor could also fire appointed commissioners without cause, undermining independent citizen oversight. MAYORS CAN ALREADY EASILY REMOVE CORRUPT OFFICIALS. They need only demonstrate misconduct or convince a majority of the Board of Supervisors. But Prop F lets the Mayor <i>unilaterally</i> remove public servants who've done nothing wrong. That's a recipe for politically-motivated removals of whistleblowers who would <i>expose</i> corruption. BILLIONAIRES BACK PROP F FOR A REASON. Local tech billionaires spent over \$8 million to get Propositions D, E, and F on the ballot. The ultra-rich rarely bankroll campaigns out of the goodness of their hearts. Like the billionaires funding our President's White House ballroom, they expect something in return. Prop F makes the Mayor's office a one-stop shop for them to buy influence and override democratic input. Prop F deserves a failing grade. Vote no. <i>Democratic Socialists of America - San Francisco</i> <i>Jackie Fielder, San Francisco Supervisor*</i> <i>Dean Preston, Former San Francisco Supervisor*</i> <i>Theresa Imperial, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner*</i> <i>Sophia Andary, Commissioner, San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women*</i>	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	Total Word Count	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☒

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Office Use Only
Time/Date Stamp
Label

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Paid Argument Against ☐

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Maria Theresa Imperial

Title (If Applicable) *Former SF Planning Commissioner

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) _____

Signature 

Email _____

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) _____

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☒

Signature 

Email _____

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature  Email

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐
if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature  Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	NO ON F — FAILS TO SOLVE CORRUPTION. EMPOWERS BILLIONAIRES. Proponents say they want to stop corruption. But if that's the assignment, Prop F gets an F. It concentrates power in the executive, stripping away checks and balances. That will only <i>enable</i> corruption - just look at Washington. PROP F GIVES MAYORS SWEEPING NEW POWERS to alter departments' core objectives, merge and eliminate departments without a single public hearing. Even if you trust this Mayor, Prop F puts this power in the hands of all future Mayors. A Mayor could also fire appointed commissioners without cause, undermining independent citizen oversight. MAYORS CAN ALREADY EASILY REMOVE CORRUPT OFFICIALS. They need only demonstrate misconduct or convince a majority of the Board of Supervisors. But Prop F lets the Mayor <i>unilaterally</i> remove public servants who've done nothing wrong. That's a recipe for politically-motivated removals of whistleblowers who would expose corruption. BILLIONAIRES BACK PROP F FOR A REASON. Local tech billionaires spent over \$8 million to get Propositions D, E, and F on the ballot. The ultra-rich rarely bankroll campaigns out of the goodness of their hearts. Like the billionaires funding our President's White House ballroom, they expect something in return. Prop F makes the Mayor's office a one-stop shop for them to buy influence and override democratic input. Prop F deserves a failing grade. Vote no. <i>Democratic Socialists of America - San Francisco</i> <i>Jackie Fielder, San Francisco Supervisor*</i> <i>Dean Preston, Former San Francisco Supervisor*</i> <i>Theresa Imperial, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner*</i> <i>Sophia Andary, Commissioner, San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women*</i>	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	Total Word Count	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☒

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Office Use Only
2026 AUG 17 AM 10:37 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp
Label

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Paid Argument Against ☐

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Sophia Andary

Title (If Applicable) COSW, Commissioner *

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☒ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [REDACTED]

Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) _____ Title (If Applicable) _____

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) _____

Signature  _____ Email _____

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) _____

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐
if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature  _____ Email _____

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	NO ON F — FAILS TO SOLVE CORRUPTION. EMPOWERS BILLIONAIRES. Proponents say they want to stop corruption. But if that's the assignment, Prop F gets an F. It concentrates power in the executive, stripping away checks and balances. That will only <i>enable</i> corruption - just look at Washington. PROP F GIVES MAYORS SWEEPING NEW POWERS to alter departments' core objectives, merge and eliminate departments without a single public hearing. Even if you trust this Mayor, Prop F puts this power in the hands of all future Mayors. A Mayor could also fire appointed commissioners without cause, undermining independent citizen oversight. MAYORS CAN ALREADY EASILY REMOVE CORRUPT OFFICIALS. They need only demonstrate misconduct or convince a majority of the Board of Supervisors. But Prop F lets the Mayor <i>unilaterally</i> remove public servants who've done nothing wrong. That's a recipe for politically-motivated removals of whistleblowers who would <i>expose</i> corruption. BILLIONAIRES BACK PROP F FOR A REASON. Local tech billionaires spent over \$8 million to get Propositions D, E, and F on the ballot. The ultra-rich rarely bankroll campaigns out of the goodness of their hearts. Like the billionaires funding our President's White House ballroom, they expect something in return. Prop F makes the Mayor's office a one-stop shop for them to buy influence and override democratic input. Prop F deserves a failing grade. Vote no. <i>Democratic Socialists of America - San Francisco</i> <i>Jackie Fielder, San Francisco Supervisor*</i> <i>Dean Preston, Former San Francisco Supervisor*</i> <i>Theresa Imperial, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner*</i> <i>Sophia Andary, Commissioner, San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women*</i>	
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
Total Word Count		



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Assignment Form

Under certain circumstances, the individual or entity entitled to author a proponent, opponent, or rebuttal argument, may assign the right to author the argument to another individual or entity.

If an eligible author assigns the right to author the proponent, opponent, or rebuttal argument to someone else a completed and signed Assignment Form is required.

Anyone assigned to author an argument must be eligible under SFMEC §545 to author an argument.

For details related to the assignment of the right to submit proponent, opponent, and rebuttal arguments see SFMEC §550(c)(d).

Office Use Only
2025 AUG 17 AM 10:37 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp
Label

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☒

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Section 2: Proponent/Opponent Information

By signing below, I assign the right to submit the ballot argument for Proposition F and confirm that I am entitled to assign the right to submit the argument to someone else.

Full Name (Print) Christin Evans
Small Business Forward

Title (If Applicable) Board Member
Small Business Forward

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 3: Assignment Information

By signing below, I accept the assignment to submit the ballot argument for Proposition and confirm that I am an eligible author.

Full Name (Print) Scott Feeney
for Democratic Socialists of America - San Francisco

Phone [REDACTED]

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Section 2: Proponent/Opponent Information

By signing below, I assign the right to submit the ballot argument for Proposition and confirm that I am entitled to assign the right to submit the argument to someone else.

Full Name (Print)

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature [REDACTED]

Email

Section 3: Assignment Information

By signing below, I accept the assignment to submit the ballot argument for Proposition and confirm that I am an eligible author.

Full Name (Print)

Phone

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature [REDACTED]

Email