SDDTAC Budget Recommendations FY2021-22 and Final Budget Allocation Mayor's Rx Fiscal Year 2022-Difference from Fiscal Year 2021-22 2021-22 with final Description SDDTAC Rx budget allocation Projected SDDT Revenu 9,500,000 10.900.000 10.675.000 SDDTAC recommends investing in strategies that support mental health and wellbeing and workforce pathways for impacted /priority populations. Community-Based Grants City Departments should contract directly with CBOs through an RFP process managed through the Community Health Equity and Promotion (CHEP) Branch of the Department of Public Health. CBG should support community-based programs and services that address the health inequities of those most targeted by the beverage industry. Include into all strategies. Funding should go to Community Based Organizations (CBOs) and Faith Based Organizations (FBOs) for the following strategies: 1. Health Education activities including, chronic disease prevention, healthy eating and active living, tap water promotion, oral/dental health. 2 Physical Activity opportunities, including: a) Dance and movement, sports, yoga, walking groups, biking, etc.; b) Changes to the built environment (ie sidewalks, streets, parks, buildings, etc) or safety of the built environment that facilitates increased physical activity and active transportation). 3. Food Security, including: a Community-based pantries, community-based hot meals, community kitchens and community home delivery services; b) Increased financial resources (i.e. Health education foo 3.500.000 36.84% \$3.500.000 32 11% 4.225.000 39.58% \$ 725.000 security, physical activit wages, income, government nutrition supplements, vouchers, etc.); c) Changes to the built environment that facilitate food security; d) Pursuit of institutional or local policies that facilitate food security, and e) Food Access, including community-based food systems approaches, community-based pantries, communitybased hot meals, community kitchens and community home delivery services, etc. 4. Water Promotion, such as support for Spa Water Supplies, station maintenance and beautification, refillable water bottles to distribute to communities, water testing. 5. Community Based Participatory Research. MAYOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS: includes one time supplemental \$700,000 funding for food security. (\$3,525,000+\$700,000=4,225,000) 7% of all CBO funding (eg 7% of approximately \$4.3 million) should go towards CBOs implemeting programs/initatives that take place in school settings. Funding 300,000 3.16% \$300,000 2.75% 0.00% \$ (300,000)0.00% to issue grants to CBOS should follow the guidelines above. CBOs working with SFUSD MAYOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS: SFUSD did not spend down FY21 amount, expect can use CF funds to support programs in FY22 \$3.800.000 40.00% \$3,800,000 34.86% 4.225.000 39.58% \$ 425.000 0.00% TOTA To improve the quality and appeal of school meals and support nutrition ed to increase participation in school meal programs (eg: cooking and serving equipment, 0.00% staff professional development, and innovative procurement and menu strategies to increase freshly prepared food). Funding will target schools with the largest School food, nutrition ed \$1,000,000 10.53% \$1,000,000 0.00% \$ (1,000,000) populations of high-risk students that are disproportionately targed by the sugary drinks industry. MAYOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS: SFUSD did not spend down FY21 amount, expect can use CF funds to support programs in FY22 Support student led efforts to decrease consumption of sugary drinks and increase awareness of sugary drinks consumption among students, with focus on 0.00% schools with the largest populations of high-risk students that are disproportionately targeted by the sugary drinks industry. SFUSD should provide to SDDTAC a Student led actio \$500,000 5.26 \$500,000 4.59% 0.00% \$ (500.000 proposal of how funding will be spent through student led action. Funds to also support adequate staffing for implementation. MAYOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS: SFUSD did not spend down FY21 amount, expect can use CF funds to support programs in FY22 College Scholarships in Healt \$150,000 1.38% 0.00% \$ 0.00% Educational investments across lifespan. Scholarships and other supports in higher education in health field for Priority Populations Field for Priority Population SFUSD TOTA \$1,500,000 \$1,650,000 15.149 (1,500,000 15.799 Food Access Support programs that increase financial resources to purchase healthy food such as vouchers and food purchasing incentives. These funds will be RFPed out to Healthy food purchas 12.63% \$1,200,000 \$1,500,000 13.76% 1,750,000 16.39% \$ 550,000 0.00% CBO and FBO. supplem IAYOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS: includes one time supplemental \$475,000 funding for food security. (\$1,275,000+\$475,000=1,750,000) Supporting small business to increase healthy food access in high risk and impacted communities and neighborhoods by: 1) supporting business operations; 2) \$150,000 1.589 \$150,000 1.38% 150,000 1.41% \$ Healthy Retail S promoting community engagement; and 3) improving the retail environment. Food Access TOTA \$1,350,000 14.21 \$1,650,000 15.14% 1,900,000 17.80% \$ 550,000 0.00% Oral Health Support development of community infrastructure such as oral health community task forces that incorporate diverse stakeholders for outreach, education, and 450000 4.749 \$450,000 4.13% 450,000 4.22% \$ 0.00% Community task force interventions to address the oral health needs of children in high risk populations. School-based seala 3.689 350000 \$350,000 3.21% 210,000 1.97% \$ (140,000)0.00% Support school-based and school-linked preventive oral health programs within SFUSD schools serving high risk target populations. This should also support SFUSD dedicated oral health staffing. School-based edu. and cas 200000 2.11 \$200,000 1.83% 0.00% \$ (200.000) 0.00% MAYOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS: SFUSD did not spend down FY21 amount, expect can use CF funds to support programs in FY22 managme \$1,000,000 \$1,000,000 Oral Health TOTA 10.53% 660.000 6.18% \$ (340,000)0.00% 9.17% Water Access SFUSD water station installation. Additionally invest in adding signage and art to 3 stations to pilot evidence badsed community informed model for what designs 0.00% \$400,000 3.67% 0.00% \$ Water Access - SFUSD 0.00% should be. As well as water education. Allows for comparison of usage b/t pilot stations with artwork/ed and those without. MAYOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS: SFUSD did not spend down FY21 amount, expect can use CF funds to support programs in FY22 Public water station installation. Additionally invest in adding signage and art to 3 stations to pilot evidence based community informed model for what designs Water Access - Public Space \$95,000 1.009 0.00% 0.00% \$ (95,000)0.00% should be. As well as water education. Allows for comparison of usage b/t pilot stations with artwork/ed and those without. \$400.000 Water Access TOTA \$95,000 1.00 3.67% 0.00% \$ (95,000) 0.00% SF Recreation & Parks \$650.000 5.96% 6.28% \$ 670.000 Peace Parl 0.009 670 000 0.00% To support staffing and supplies, including healthy food, for Peace Parks programs in target populations SVIP Funding - Peace park 0.00 \$225.000 2.06% 225,000 2.11% 225,000 0.00% Transportation for Peace Parks participants transportation Requity: Outread scholarships, equity 0.00 \$900.000 8.269 2 000 000 18 74% \$ 2.000.000 0.00% recreation SF Rec and Park TOTAL \$780.000 8.21% \$1,775,000 16.28% 2.895.000 27.12% \$ 2.115.000 0.00%

Breastfeeding	\$175,000	1.84%	\$175,000	1.61%	\$ 175,000	1.64%	\$ -	0.00%	To fund a breastfeeding coalition to organize collective efforts across San Francisco to enable increased breastfeeding among priority populations. This coalition will mobilize action on policy, systems, and environmental (PSE) changes to increase breastfeeding rates and duration, leveraging community strengths and tackling structural barriers to reduce inequities to breastfeeding support. This would include funding for backbone support to: engage community stakeholders in a strategic planning and engagement process to develop a framework for short and long term goals embedded in principles of equity; help align breastfeeding support services in San Francisco including hospital, outpatient, and community-based services to improve access to breastfeeding support; and provide technical assistance to partnering agencies (such as child care centers and businesses with less than 50 employees) to operationalize and implement breast-feeding friendly policies and practices.  MAYOR'S RECOMMENDATION: Pilot funded in FY22
Infrastructure (Staffing/Research Support)	\$800,000	8.42%	\$450,000			7.68%	\$ 20,000	0.00%	A. Personnel  1) Backbone staffing to support SDDTAC a. Provide backbone staffing to the SDDTAC, including: i) Staff full committee and 3 subcommittees in compliance with Sunshine and Brown Acts; ii) Coordinate among city agencies and funded CBOs to promote collective impact; iii) Help guide vision and strategy of SDDTAC, support aligned activities; manage SDDTAC work and timeline; and iV) Work with evaluation team to establish shared measurement practices b. As necessary, manage citywide/soda tax impact media c. Develop/Compile and Manage completion of SDDTAC Annual Report d. Manage SDDTAC bi-enniel nominations process 2) Staffing to support DPH SDDT implementation of community based grants a. Manage work of contractors, including: i) develop and implement CBO RFP process; ii) provide technical assistance for CBOs and merchants; iii) promote collective impact in coordination with SDDTAC backbone staff and City Agencies; and iv) work with evaluator and SDDTAC backbone staff to develop and implement evaluation plan and evaluation technical assistance. 3) Staffing to support research and evaluation of SDDT impact, including data purchases as necessary a. At least 1.0 FTE epidemiologist; b. Support data analysis for annual report; c. Manage data purchases; d. participate in devleopment and implementation of SDDT evaluation B. Professional services including: i) technical assistance for funded CBO and FBO; ii) evaluation - to develop evaluaton framework and evaluate funded city agencies, CBO and FBO, and process evaluations from applicants, etc.; iii) city attorney to provide ongoing technical consultation; iv) project management agency to offset fiscal intermediary costs C. Materials/Supplies for meetings and printing costs D. Training to support staff development E. Data for collection (pricing), analysis (Nielsen) and purchase (IRI)
i otai	\$9,500,000	100.00%	\$10,900,000	100.00%	\$10,675,000	100.00%		#DIV/0!	