

# Monitoring Report Fiscal Year 22-23 Behavioral Health Services

Section: BHS-MH

**Target Population: CYF** 

Agency: Edgewood Center for Children & Families Site Visit Date: June 27, 2024

Program Reviewed: Edgewood Crisis Stabilization Unit Report Date: July 1, 2024

**Program Code(s):** 3898CS, 8858CS **Review Period:** July 1, 2022-

June 30, 2023

Site Address: 2681 28th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94112 Finalized Date:

CID/MOU#: 10030 Appendix #: A-8b

Funding Source(s): General Fund and Medi-Cal

On-Site Monitoring Team Member(s): Craig Wenzl

**Program/Contractor Representatives:** May Chhoeng, Lynn Dolce, Vincent Fong, America Grajeda, Sapna Patel, Jonathan

Weinstock

**Overall Program Rating:** 4 - Commendable/Exceeds Standards

**Category Ratings:** 

I	4 = Commendable/Exceeds Standards				3 = Acceptable/Meets Standards					
	2 = Improvement Needed/Below Standards			1 = Unacceptable						
	4	4 Program Performance 3 Program Deliverables		4	Program Compliance	4	Client Satisfaction			

## **Sub-Categories Reviewed:**

Program Performance	Program Deliverables	Program Compliance	Client Satisfaction
Achievement of Performance Objectives	Unduplicated Clients (Unscored)		Satisfaction Survey Completed and Analyzed

# MONITORING REPORT SUMMARY

Agency/Program: Edgewood Center for Children & Families/Edgewood Crisis Stabilization Unit

- Findings/Summary: The services provided by this program were funded by the Sources listed on page 1.
  - The program met 100.0 percent of its contracted performance objectives.
  - The program met 88.1 percent of its contracted units of service target.
  - A review of the administrative binder evidenced 100.0 percent of required compliance items.
  - A review of site premise evidenced 100.0 percent of required items.
  - The program was exempt of Chart Documentation compliance.
  - The program completed its client satisfaction survey.
  - The program analyzed the client satisfaction results.

This program is under the administration of SFDPH Behavioral Health Services (BHS) Mental Health Children, Youth, and Families (MH, CYF). The Edgewood Crisis, Triage and Assessment Center (CTAC) is an unlocked, mental health specialty program for medically-stable youth ages 6 to 17 years. The CSU also functions as the San Francisco County Receiving Center (Welfare and Institutions Code 5151) for youth who are placed on a WIC 5150/5585.5 civil commitment hold in San Francisco County.

FY21-22 Plan of Action required?	[]	Yes	[X]	No
If "Yes", describe program's imple	menta	ation.		
FY22-23 Plan of Action required?	[]	Yes	[X]	No

Docusign Envelope ID: C17BF210-C428-438C-9F2E-7B7D24E3F399	
Signature of Author of This Report  —DocuSigned by:	
Craig Wenzl	
─=7Nanne Compliance Manager	
Signature of Authorizing Departmental Reviewer	
— DocuSigned by:	
Jenna Reyes	
—াখaদ্বাভানাপ্ৰ Title: Jerna Reyes, BOCC Director	
Signature of Authorizing System of Care Reviewer	
— DocuSigned by:	
Faralinaz Faralimand	
— ำฟลฟาย สที่เขา Title: SOC Director	
DROVIDED DESPONSE: (places shock one and sign helpy)	
PROVIDER RESPONSE: (please check one and sign below)	
I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, acknowledge findings, no further a	action is necessary at this time.
I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, acknowledge findings, and attach and recommendations with issues addresses and timelines for correction	
I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, disagree with findings, response t	o recommendations attached.
DocuSigned by:	
amfrula	7/19/2024
—্ৰণ্ডানুম্প্ৰাঞ্যেঞ্চ Authorized Contract Signatory (Service Provider)	Date
America Grajeda, Senior Director of Programs	
Print Name and Title	

RESPONSE TO THIS REPORT DUE:

July 23, 2024

If applicable, please submit any supplemental materials by clicking on the attachment icon below.

# **Program Performance & Compliance Findings**

# **Rating Criteria:**

4	3	2	1
Over 90% = Commendable/ Exceeds Standards	71% - 90% = Acceptable/Meets Standards	51% - 70% = Improvement Needed/ Below Standards	Below 51% = Unacceptable

## **Overall Score:**

<b>Total Points Given:</b>	83/85=98%

# 1. Program Performance (30 points possible):

Achievement of	Achievement of Performance Objectives (0-30 pts):					al points out of 5 points (from 1 ctives) = 100%
	Program Performance Points:					
Points Given:	30/30	Category Score:	100%	Performance R	ating:	Commendable/ Exceeds Standards

# **Performance Objectives and Findings with Points**

Ind.1		According to the program's self-report, 90 Medi-Cal	Points: 5
	☐ from in-patient hospitalization.	assessments were completed during FY22-23. Out of	
		these 90 assessments, 8 clients were placed on a 5585 or	
		had their 5585 hold continued and transferred to a	
		psychiatric inpatient hospital. This equals an 8.9%	
		hospitalization rate and corresponding 91.1% diversion	

rate.

# **Commendations/Comments:**

The program is commended for excellent achievement of the Performance Objective.

# **Identified Problems, Recommendations and Timelines:**

None noted.

## 2.Program Deliverables (20 points possible):

Units of Service Deliverables (0-20 pts):					8	88%	of Contracted Units of Service
Program Deliverables Points:					8		
Points Given: 18/20 Category Score: 90% P				Performa	nce Ra	ating:	Acceptable/ Meets Standards

#### **Units of Service Delivered**

Program Code	Service Description	Contracted/Actual
8858CS	10/ 25 - 29 DS-Crisis Stab Urgent Care M03	2,432 1,466
8858CS	10/ 25 - 29 DS-Crisis Stab Urgent Care M25`	5,689 5,689

#### **Unduplicated Clients by Program Code**

Program Code	Contracted/Actu	ıal
8858CS	81	73

#### **Commendations/Comments:**

The totals for units of service (UOS) are from the program's final invoices (M03JUNSUP, M25JU23). The actual unduplicated client (UDC) count is from Avatar. The program provided 88.1% of the contracted UOS and 90% of the UDC based on these data sources.

#### **Identified Problems, Recommendations and Timelines:**

None noted.

## 3. Program Compliance (40 points possible):

A. Declaration of Compliance Score (5 pts):						Submitted Declar	ration
B. Administrativ	):	10		100% of items in	compliance		
C. Site/Premises Compliance (0-10 pts):  D. Chart Documentation Compliance (0-10 pts):  E. Plan of Action (if applicable) (5 pts):				10		100% items in co	ompliance
				N/A			
				5		FY21-22 POA implemented FY21-22 POA	POA was required was submitted, accepted and submitted, not fully implemented required, not submitted
	ints:	30					
Points Given:	30/30	Category Score:	1	100% Co		npliance Rating:	Commendable/ Exceeds Standards

#### **Commendations/Comments:**

The review of the Administrative Binder and Site/Premises requirements found all items present and curent. BOCC examined a sample of employee training logs and certificates and found all but one training (Opioid Overdose Recognition and Response) had been completed as required.

#### **Identified Problems, Recommendations and Timelines:**

BOCC advised the program to have staff take the new Opioid Overdose Recognition and Response training as required annually. The program planned to have employees immediately begin taking the training. No Plan of Action is required, BOCC will follow-up during the next monitoring cycle.

# 4. Client Satisfaction (10 points possible): Program-Specific Client Satisfaction Survey

Scoring Category	Scoring Criteria		
Completed Program Specific Survey	Yes = 2, No = 0		
Results Analyzed	Yes = 3, No = 0		
Program Performance as Rated by Clients	50-59% of clients satisfied = 1 60-69% of clients satisfied = 2 70-79% of clients satisfied = 3 80-89% of clients satisfied = 4 90-100% of clients satisfied = 5		
	Client Satisfaction Points:	5	

Points Given:	5/5	Category Score:	100%	Client Satisfaction Rating:	Commendable/ Exceeds Standards

#### **Commendations/Comments:**

The program is exempt from the standardized client satisfaction survey because of its crisis population. However, the program is credited for conducting its own individualized survey during FY22-23 to gauge client satisfaction. A total of 68 completed surveys were received. Of these, 93% (63/68) indicated agreement with the statement that "staff explained services available to my child in a way that was clear to me," 94% (64/68) agreed with the statement that "staff listened to my concerns," 93% (63/68) agreed that "CSU staff effectively stabilized my child's crisis," and 97% (66/68) would recommend this program to another family.

# **Identified Problems, Recommendations and Timelines:**

None noted.