



# Commission Streamlining Task Force

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### MEMORANDUM

**TO:** Chair Ed Harrington and Members, Commission Streamlining Task Force

**FROM:** Rachel Alonso, Project Director, City Administrator's Office  
Hannah Kohanzadeh, Principal Project Analyst, City Administrator's Office  
Joanna Bell, Senior Performance Analyst, Controller's Office  
Henry O'Connell, Senior Performance Analyst, Controller's Office

**DATE:** August 15, 2025

**SUBJECT:** Preliminary Recommended Actions for Borderline Inactive Bodies

---

Per Proposition E, approved by voters in November 2024, the Commission Streamlining Task Force ("Task Force") is responsible for making recommendations to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors about ways to modify, eliminate, or combine the City's appointive boards and commissions ("policy bodies") to improve the administration of government.

The Task Force will discuss borderline inactive bodies at its August 20 meeting. This memo defines borderline inactivity, establishes a list of such bodies, and issues preliminary recommendations for each.

Borderline inactive bodies are defined as policy bodies that either met fewer than four times in the last calendar year or have a vacancy rate greater than twenty five percent. These thresholds helped staff identify **21 bodies that struggle to meet regularly and achieve quorum**:

- 7 bodies met fewer than 4 times in the last calendar year (2024)
- 11 bodies have vacancy rates greater than 25%<sup>1</sup>
- 3 bodies met both criteria

After reviewing each body, **staff recommend keeping 10, eliminating 9, and deferring decision-making on 2.**

These recommendations were informed by several evaluation criteria as well as additional contextual information about each body. Contextual information was provided by City departments, commissioners, and members of the public.

---

<sup>1</sup> These data reflect a point-in-time snapshot from outreach conducted in May and June 2025

## 2 | Recommended Actions for Borderline Inactive Bodies

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                      | If Yes                                                                                     |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | Is this body explicitly required by state or federal law?                                                       | Keep                                                                                       |
|                                           | Does this body fulfil some function that is required by state or federal law?                                   | Keep, unless this function could be carried out elsewhere                                  |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Is this body inactive?                                                                                          | Consider eliminating                                                                       |
|                                           | Is this body borderline inactive?                                                                               | Consider eliminating                                                                       |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | Do other bodies cover a similar topic or policy area?                                                           | Consider combining or eliminating                                                          |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is this body narrowly focused on a single funding source, neighborhood, age/demographic group, or narrow topic? | Consider eliminating if interest could be adequately served by a body with a broader scope |

At its August 20 meeting, the Task Force may vote to eliminate any or all of these bodies from the charter or code. If the Task Force recommends eliminating a body at the August 20 meeting, the City Attorney will prepare draft legislation removing it from the charter or code. The Task Force will then review the draft legislation at a future meeting and vote on whether to forward it to the Board of Supervisors. The Task Force may amend its decisions at any time before the final legislation is approved.

Each borderline inactive body will also be discussed at one additional Task Force meeting alongside other bodies in the same policy area. For example, the Sheriff's Department Oversight Board will be discussed on August 20, as a borderline inactive body, and again on September 3, as a public safety body. A planned decision calendar can be found online at [sf.gov/commissionstreamlining](https://sf.gov/commissionstreamlining).

The following sections include a list of borderline inactive bodies and a discussion and recommended action for each. These recommendations are intended to support Task Force decision-making and action. All recommendations are preliminary and may be revisited at the future policy area meeting and/or updated if new information is gathered about a body.

## Version History

| Version | Date       | Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|---------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1       | 08/01/2025 | Original                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| 2       | 08/15/2025 | Withdrew Residential User Appeals Board recommendation as the City Attorney's Office has looked into the history and details of the authorizing legislation and has now advised it is out of the Task Force's scope; updated the Treasure Island Citizen Advisory Board seat details based on board bylaws and BOS resolution; clarified financial processes related to MOHCD's SoMa Community Stabilization Fund Community Advisory Committee; reduced the total seat count for HRC's LGBTQI+ Advisory Committee; and summarized public correspondence in an appendix. |

## Summary of Recommended Actions for Borderline Inactive Bodies

| Dept. | Name of Body                                                                                | Code Citation                                    | Recommendation        |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| ADM   | <a href="#">Cannabis Oversight Committee</a>                                                | Administrative Code § 5.38-1                     | Keep                  |
| ADM   | <a href="#">Sweatfree Procurement Advisory Group</a>                                        | Labor and Employment Code § 151.7                | Eliminate             |
| ADM   | <a href="#">Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors</a>                    | Cal. Health & Safety Code § 33492.5              | Keep                  |
| ADM   | <a href="#">Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Citizen Advisory Board</a>                   | Board of Supervisors Res. No. 89-99              | Eliminate             |
| ADM   | <a href="#">Justice Tracking Information System (JUSTIS) Committee Governance Council</a>   | Administrative Code § 2A.85                      | Eliminate             |
| APD   | <a href="#">Community Corrections Partnership</a>                                           | Cal. Penal Code §§ 1228-1233.8                   | Keep                  |
| CHF   | <a href="#">Children, Youth and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee</a>         | Charter § 16.108-1; Administrative Code § 2A.233 | Keep                  |
| CON   | <a href="#">Citizens' General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee</a>                       | Administrative Code § 5.30                       | Defer decision-making |
| CON   | <a href="#">Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District Public Financing Authority No. 1</a> | Administrative Code § 5.48                       | Keep                  |
| CPC   | <a href="#">Bayview Hunters Point Citizens Advisory Committee</a>                           | Administrative Code § 5.70                       | Keep                  |
| CPC   | <a href="#">South of Market Community Planning Advisory Committee</a>                       | Administrative Code § 5.26                       | Eliminate             |
| DEM   | <a href="#">Disaster Council</a>                                                            | Administrative Code § 7.4                        | Keep                  |
| HRC   | <a href="#">LGBTQI+ Advisory Committee</a>                                                  | Administrative Code § 12A.6                      | Keep                  |
| HRD   | <a href="#">Workers' Compensation Council</a>                                               | Administrative Code § 16.121-2                   | Eliminate             |
| HSA   | <a href="#">Commission on Aging Advisory Council</a>                                        | Administrative Code § 5.6-4                      | Defer decision-making |
| MYR   | <a href="#">Citizens Committee on Community Development</a>                                 | Administrative Code § 2A.290                     | Keep                  |
| MYR   | <a href="#">SoMa Community Stabilization Fund Community Advisory Committee</a>              | Administrative Code § 5.27                       | Eliminate             |
| PRT   | <a href="#">Waterfront Design Advisory Committee</a>                                        | Planning Code § 240                              | Eliminate             |
| REG   | <a href="#">Elections Commission</a>                                                        | Charter § 13.103.5                               | Keep                  |
| SDA   | <a href="#">Sheriff's Department Oversight Board</a>                                        | Charter § 4.137                                  | Eliminate             |
| TTX   | <a href="#">Treasury Oversight Committee</a>                                                | Administrative Code § 5.9                        | Eliminate             |

## Recommended Actions for Borderline Inactive Policy Bodies

### 1. Cannabis Oversight Committee (City Administrator's Office)

Advises the Board of Supervisors (BOS) and Mayor on the implementation and enforcement of cannabis laws and regulations ([Admin. Code § 5.38-1](#)).

|                           |           |                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|---------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | ADM       | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 5                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Advisory  | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 16 total seats<br>9 vacant seats (56%)                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| <b>Established</b>        | 2018      | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | 9 voting members appointed by BOS and 7 non-voting members appointed by Public Health, Police, Building Inspection, Planning, SFUSD, Entertainment Commission, and Fire.                                                       |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | 1/01/2027 | <b>Qualifications</b>           | Specialized seat qualifications for the BOS appointments related to cannabis business ownership, employment, and usage, including one seat reserved for a verified "equity applicant" who meets multiple eligibility criteria. |

#### Evaluation:

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | No                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive - greater than 25% vacancy rate                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | None                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic Cannabis |

#### Staff Recommendation: Keep

The Cannabis Oversight Committee brings together seasoned and new industry members to advise the City's elected leaders on how to implement and enforce cannabis laws. No other body could perform these tasks. The Cannabis Oversight Committee uplifts members of the cannabis industry

who are verified by the Office of Cannabis as Equity Applicants and Business Owners; these members meet many conditions based on justice involvement for cannabis offenses, income level, SFUSD attendance, and long-term San Francisco residence. Additionally, Ordinance [6-25](#) was approved six months ago extending the sunset date of this advisory body from 2025 to 2027; this both indicates a recent reaffirmation of the body and a sunset date earlier than the three year advisory committee template.

## 2. [Sweatfree Procurement Advisory Group](#) (City Administrator's Office)

Evaluates the implementation, administration, and enforcement of the Sweatfree Contracting Ordinance. Evaluates the industries engaged in the manufacture and sale of goods to determine whether contracts for any goods in addition to apparel, garments, and textiles should be targeted for enforcement. Determines how the City and County may maximize its purchase of goods produced in San Francisco ([Labor and Employment Code § 151.7](#))

|                           |          |                                 |                                                                                                       |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | ADM      | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 3                                                                                                     |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Advisory | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 11 total seats<br>5 vacant seats (45%)                                                                |
| <b>Established</b>        | 2005     | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | BOS (5 seats), MYR (5 seats),<br>Controller (1 seat)                                                  |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | N/A      | <b>Qualifications</b>           | Labor representation, public<br>procurement, human<br>rights/poverty advocacy,<br>financial expertise |

### Evaluation:

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | No                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive – fewer than 4 meetings in CY24,<br>greater than 25% vacancy rate                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | None                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic      Sweatfree contracting |

### Staff Recommendation: Eliminate

While advising on coordination of departmental processes was a valued function of the Advisory Group in the initial phases of implementing Article 151, twenty years after its effective date, the administration of Article 151 has reached a point of maturity where coordination can be more efficiently performed directly by department staff who are responsible for administering different aspects of the law. The subject-matter knowledge and knowledge transfer capacity of City staff has grown over time.

Meanwhile, investigations of sweatfree violations are conducted by an international nonprofit monitoring organization that the City contracts with. The monitoring organization has the ability to conduct on-site factory inspections and provide recommendations to remedy sweatfree violations.

## 8 | Recommended Actions for Borderline Inactive Bodies

The majority of other public procurement laws do not have an associated advisory group. Relatedly, most other peer public jurisdictions do not have a Sweatfree Procurement Advisory Group or equivalent codified into their municipal laws or procurement policies. For example, the City of Los Angeles, a peer jurisdiction with historical ties to the garment industry, requires contractors to follow a sweatfree code of conduct but does not have an advisory group mandated by law. The City of New York, another jurisdiction with historical ties to the garment industry, has policies related to ethical contractor behavior but neither a specific sweatfree procurement ordinance nor a sweatfree advisory group.

For a summary of public comment received for this body, refer to the Appendix.

### 3. Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors (City Administrator's Office)

Governs the Treasure Island Development Authority (TIDA), a City agency and non-profit corporation which promotes planning, redevelopment, reconstruction, rehabilitation, reuse and conversion of a former naval station, including Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island, for the public interest, convenience, welfare and common benefit of the inhabitants of the City (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 33492.5).

|                           |            |                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|---------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | ADM        | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 9                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Governance | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 7 total seats<br>2 vacant seats (29%)                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <b>Established</b>        | 1997       | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Mayor                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | None       | <b>Qualifications</b>           | Expertise in the areas of real estate development, urban planning, environmental protection and resource conservation, homeless assistance, financing and other disciplines relevant to the reuse of the naval station |

#### Evaluation:

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | Yes – the TIDA Board of Directors must exist as long as TIDA is incorporated as a nonprofit organization                                                                                                                                                                        |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive - greater than 25% vacancy rate                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | Planning and Land Use – Treasure Island<br>1. Treasure Island /Yerba Buena Island Citizen's Advisory Board                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood Treasure Island<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic |

#### Staff Recommendation: Keep

The Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors (TIDA BOD) is legally required to exist as long as the Treasure Island Development Authority is incorporated as a nonprofit organization. It cannot be combined with another body. Staff recommend keeping it for this reason.

Furthermore, since gathering membership data, a sixth appointment was made, dropping the vacancy rate to 14%, which means TIDA BOD no longer meets the definition of borderline inactive.

Staff may recommend future modifications to this body's current structure once the Task Force develops a template for governance bodies.

#### 4. Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Citizen Advisory Board (City Administrator's Office)

Gathers public input and opinion from diverse communities to provide additional expertise to the Treasure Island Development Authority (TIDA). Provides recommendations to TIDA concerning the final reviews and implementation of the draft base reuse plan dated July 1996, policies and objectives for interim reuses, and other matters of importance to the future of Treasure Island and all citizens of San Francisco as the Board of Directors of the Authority may refer to it ([Board of Supervisors Resolution 89-99](#)).

|                           |          |                                 |                                                                                           |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | ADM      | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 2                                                                                         |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Advisory | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 25 total seats<br>11 vacant seats (44%)                                                   |
| <b>Established</b>        | 1999     | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Mayor (12 seats) and Board of Supervisors (9 seats)                                       |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | None     | <b>Qualifications</b>           | Island residence, low income, general interest and expertise in 20 areas relevant to TIDA |

#### Evaluation:

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | No                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive – fewer than 4 meetings in CY24, greater than 25% vacancy rate                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | Planning and Land Use – Treasure Island<br>1. Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors                                                                                                                                                                          |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood Treasure Island<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic |

#### Staff Recommendation: Eliminate

The Community Advisory Board (CAB) should be eliminated to reflect the evolved context of the Treasure Island development. The CAB was created as part of the planning process, and the development plan was ultimately adopted in 2011. Since then, the CAB's relevance and utility have declined and engagement with the TIDA Board has decreased.

While the CAB has become an outlet for residents to raise quality-of-life issues, this function is misaligned with TIDA's core mission, which centers on long-term development. As the Island transitions into a more established residential community with an anticipated master HOA, this is the appropriate moment to sunset the CAB. Island residents would benefit from a dedicated residents'

organization which could more effectively liaise with City departments—such as SFPD and MTA—on neighborhood issues, alleviating the burden on TIDA to serve as a catch-all for local concerns and allowing it to refocus on its primary mandate. Should the TIDA Board have a need in the future for citizen input, it may create a subcommittee.

### 5. Justice Tracking Information System (JUSTIS) Committee Governance Council (City Administrator's Office)

Establishes policy, provides guidance, and oversees the ongoing operations of JUSTIS, an integrated criminal justice information system serving participating criminal justice agencies in San Francisco ([Admin. Code § 2A.85](#)).

|                           |                     |                                 |                                       |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | ADM – DT            | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 1                                     |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Staff Working Group | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 12 total seats<br>0 vacant seats (0%) |
| <b>Established</b>        | 2000                | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | 12 public safety departments          |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | None                | <b>Qualifications</b>           | City employees                        |

#### Evaluation:

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | No                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive – fewer than 4 meetings in CY24                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | General Admin & Finance – Information Technology<br>1. Committee on Information Technology                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic IT system governance |

#### Staff Recommendation: Eliminate

Technology has evolved in the twenty-five years since this body was created, with the ongoing management of integrated justice-related technology infrastructure now addressed within DT's operational structure. The Council has faced difficulties securing participation from required members, particularly elected public safety officials, in part due to membership criteria that limit delegation. Current work relies on ongoing coordination among departmental IT staff outside of the Council.

For a summary of public comment received for this body, refer to the Appendix.

## 6. Community Corrections Partnership (Adult Probation Department)

Advises the City on the use of evidence-based practices in sentencing and probation in compliance with the use of state Community Corrections Performance Incentives funds (Cal. Penal Code §§ 1228-1233.8).

|                           |                     |                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | ADP                 | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 2                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Staff Working Group | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 13 total seats<br>1 vacant seat (8%)                                                                                                                                              |
| <b>Established</b>        | 2011                | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Court, Board of Supervisors or City Administrator's Office, District Attorney, Public Defender, Sheriff, Police, Human Services, Public Health, Adult Probation, Nonprofits       |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | None                | <b>Qualifications</b>           | Various public safety professionals, nonprofit providing rehabilitative services to those convicted of a criminal offense, and an individual representing the interest of victims |

### Evaluation:

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | Yes – required to exist to receive State funds                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive – fewer than 4 meetings in CY24                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | Justice System – Sentencing and Probation<br>1. Sentencing Commission<br>2. Reentry Council<br>3. Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council<br>4. Juvenile Probation Commission                                                                                     |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic |

**Staff Recommendation:** Keep

This body is legally required to receive state Community Corrections Performance Incentives funds.

## 7. Children, Youth and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee

(Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families)

Provides a forum for community members and service providers to discuss decisions regarding the Children and Youth Fund. While not a full governance body, has important governance responsibilities to oversee the Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families' (DCYF's) grantmaking process and approve certain budget and policy documents. Participates in the evaluation of the DCYF Director and assists in recruitment when the position is vacant. Advised by DCYF's Service Provider Working Group on issues faced by nonprofits providing children's services ([Charter § 16.108-1](#); [Admin. Code § 2A.233](#)).

|                                            |           |                                 |                                                                                             |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b>                  | CHF       | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 4                                                                                           |
| <b>Current Type</b>                        | Advisory* | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 11 total seats<br>9 vacant seats (82%)                                                      |
| <b>Established</b>                         | 2015      | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Mayor, Board of Supervisors                                                                 |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>                         | None      | <b>Qualifications</b>           | Seats reserved for youth, parents, and people with experience providing children's services |
| *includes some governance responsibilities |           |                                 |                                                                                             |

### Evaluation:

| Criteria |                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> | Required by state or federal law | No                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| <b>2</b> | Activity                         | Borderline inactive – greater than 25% vacancy rate                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| <b>3</b> | Overlap with other bodies        | Children and Youth<br>1. Service Provider Working Group<br>2. Our Children, Our Families Council<br>3. Child Care Planning and Advisory Council<br>4. Children and Families First Commission<br>5. Early Childhood Community Oversight and Advisory Committee                                                               |
| <b>4</b> | Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Single funding source      Children & Youth Fund<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group      Children & youth<br><input type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic |

### Staff Recommendation: Keep

This body had a high vacancy rate in the last year, but Mayor Lurie recently appointed five new commissioners, and the Board of Supervisors is actively recruiting members for the other open seats. DCYF expects all seats to be filled in the coming months.

The OAC provides oversight of DCYF's large grant portfolio (\$90+ million per year) and reviews and approves several planning documents developed throughout DCYF's 5-year grantmaking cycle. DCYF finds this input valuable for supporting planning, community engagement, and RFP development. Since this body expects to imminently fill its remaining vacancies and provides value to the department, staff recommend keeping it.

For a summary of public comment received for this body, refer to the Appendix.

**8. Citizens' General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee** (Controller's Office)

Conducts hearings and reviews documentation relating to the City's general obligation (GO) bond programs and informs the Board of Supervisors and the public concerning the expenditure of the proceeds of such bonds. Serves as a Citizens Audit Review Board, providing advisory input to the Controller acting as City Services Auditor, including by reviewing service standards, audits, and the City's whistleblower program ([Admin. Code §§ 5.30-5.36](#)).

|                           |          |                                 |                                                                                                |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | CON      | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 5                                                                                              |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Advisory | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 9 total seats<br>3 vacant seats (33%)                                                          |
| <b>Established</b>        | 2002     | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Mayor, Board of Supervisors, Controller, Civil Grand Jury                                      |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | None     | <b>Qualifications</b>           | Various requirements around business, labor, community, financial, and construction expertise. |

**Evaluation:**

| Criteria |                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|----------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> | Required by state or federal law | No                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| <b>2</b> | Activity                         | Borderline inactive – greater than 25% vacancy rate                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <b>3</b> | Overlap with other bodies        | None                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| <b>4</b> | Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic                      GO bond program |

**Staff Recommendation:** Defer decision-making

CGOBOC had a high vacancy rate in the last year but still meets regularly and is actively working on recruitment to fill its vacant seats. The Task Force should evaluate all finance bodies collectively at the November 5 meeting.

## 9. Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District Public Financing Authority No. 1 (Controller's Office)

Serves as the governing body for the City's Power Station Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District (EIFD). Operates as a local agency distinct from the City and County, tasked with financing public capital projects and community serving infrastructure. Responsible for all duties conferred by Government Code § 53398.50, including preparing, adopting, and implementing the Power Stations' infrastructure financing plan; directing the issuance of bonds and indebtedness to fund approved projects; and overseeing the annual public hearing and reporting, including independent audits ([Admin. Code § 5.48](#)).

|                           |                                               |                                     |                                       |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | CON                                           | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>              | 1                                     |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Regulatory                                    | <b>Members<br/>(as of May 2025)</b> | 5 total seats<br>0 vacant seats (0%)  |
| <b>Established</b>        | 2023                                          | <b>Appointing Officers</b>          | President of the Board of Supervisors |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | End of tax revenue and full repayment of debt | <b>Qualifications</b>               | None                                  |

### Evaluation:

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | Yes – required to exist if the EIFD exists                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive – fewer than 4 meetings in CY24                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | General Administration & Finance – Economic Development                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic<br>Enhanced infrastructure financing districts |

### Staff Recommendation: Keep

The EIFD Public Financing Authority No. 1 must exist if the EIFD exists. The body's functions cannot be transferred to another body.

**10. Bayview Hunters Point Citizens Advisory Committee** (San Francisco Planning)

Advises the City on planning and land use policy for Zone 2 and Survey Area C of the Bayview Hunters Point Redevelopment Project Area ([Admin. Code § 5.71](#)).

|                           |          |                                 |                                                                                     |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | CPC      | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 7                                                                                   |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Advisory | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 12 total seats<br>6 vacant seats (50%)                                              |
| <b>Established</b>        | 2013     | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Mayor, District 10 Supervisor, City Administrator                                   |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | None     | <b>Qualifications</b>           | Relevant experience, interest, or familiarity in several topics related to land use |

**Evaluation:**

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | No                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive – greater than 25% vacancy rate                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | Planning and Land Use<br>1. Planning Commission                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood Bayview Hunters Point<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic |

**Staff Recommendation:** Keep

This body currently has a high vacancy rate but met regularly in the last calendar year to advise on land use decisions in the Bayview Hunters Point Redevelopment Project Area. While these decisions are ultimately made by the Planning Commission, the CAC provides a forum for resident participation in a neighborhood that has been impacted by historic disinvestment, redlining, environmental injustice, and other inequitable land use decisions.

Staff recommend keeping this body and conforming to the advisory committee template.

**Recommended Changes:**

| Template component | Current State | Recommended Change |
|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| <b>Sunset date</b> | None          | In 3 years         |

This is only a preliminary list of recommended changes. If the Task Force chooses to keep the BHP CAC at this time, alignment with additional template elements will be considered in the subsequent Housing and Economic Development policy area meeting on October 1, 2025.

### 11. South of Market Community Planning Advisory Committee (San Francisco Planning)

Advises city agencies regarding the implementation of the Central SoMa, East SoMa, and Western SoMa Area Plans ([Admin. Code § 5.26](#)).

|                           |          |                                 |                                                 |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | CPC      | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 4                                               |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Advisory | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 11 total seats<br>4 vacant seats (36%)          |
| <b>Established</b>        | 2019     | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Mayor, District 6 Supervisor                    |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | 1/1/2035 | <b>Qualifications</b>           | SoMa residency and various other qualifications |

#### Evaluation:

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | No                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive – greater than 25% vacancy rate                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | Planning and Land Use<br>1. Planning Commission<br>2. Interagency Planning and Implementation Committee                                                                                                                                                              |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood SoMa<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic |

#### Staff Recommendation: Eliminate

This body has a high vacancy rate but met on a quarterly basis in the last calendar year to advise on the implementation of several Area Plans related to the South of Market neighborhood. The Planning Department, in collaboration with community stakeholders, has developed and adopted several Area Plans to guide neighborhood growth and change. Area Plans are approved by the Planning Commission.

Given that Area Plans are developed through intensive public engagement and the Planning Department has a process in place to manage their implementation, we recommend eliminating this body and removing it from code. If this body is removed from code, the Planning Department should continue to provide updates and solicit feedback from the SoMa community through a variety of other methods.

**12. [Disaster Council](#)** (Department of Emergency Management)

Develops and approves plans for disaster response requiring the mobilization of public and private resources and advises the Board of Supervisors on regulations needed to implement these plans ([Admin. Code Chapter 7](#)).

|                           |                     |                                 |                                                                                                                   |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | DEM                 | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 1                                                                                                                 |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Staff Working Group | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 13 total seats<br>13 vacant seats (0%)                                                                            |
| <b>Established</b>        | 1972                | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Mayor, President of the Board of Supervisors                                                                      |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | None                | <b>Qualifications</b>           | None, but includes representatives of external groups with official emergency roles (i.e. PG&E and the Red Cross) |

**Evaluation:**

| Criteria |                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|----------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> | Required by state or federal law | No, but expressly permitted under state law                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| <b>2</b> | Activity                         | Borderline inactive – fewer than 4 meetings in CY24                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| <b>3</b> | Overlap with other bodies        | None                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| <b>4</b> | Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic      Disaster preparedness |

**Staff Recommendation:** Keep

The Disaster Council keeps San Francisco safe and prepared for crises. The Council meets only as frequently as necessary to revise plans.

### 13. **LGBTQI+ Advisory Committee** (Human Rights Commission)

Advises the Human Rights Commission on discrimination against and other issues affecting the queer community ([Admin. Code § 12A.6\(c\)](#)).

|                           |          |                                 |                                              |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | HRC      | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 3                                            |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Advisory | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 15 total seats<br>6 vacant seats (40%)       |
| <b>Established</b>        | 1975     | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Human Rights Commission                      |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | None     | <b>Qualifications</b>           | Queer or close work with the queer community |

#### Evaluation:

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | No                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive – fewer than 4 meetings in CY24, greater than 25% vacancy rate                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | Human Rights<br>1. Human Rights Commission                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group      LGBTQI+ community<br><input type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic |

#### Staff Recommendation: Keep

The Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, and Intersex Advisory Committee (LGBTQI+AC) was established in 1975 to advise the Human Rights Commission on discrimination against LGBTQI+ communities. Fifty years later, LGBTQI+ issues are as important as ever. Staff recommend keeping this body and conforming it to the advisory committee template.

#### Recommended Changes:

| Template component | Current State | Recommended Change |
|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| <b>Sunset date</b> | None          | In 3 years         |

This is only a preliminary list of recommended changes. If the Task Force chooses to keep the LGBTQI+AC at this time, alignment with additional template elements will be considered in the subsequent Public Health and Wellbeing policy area meeting on October 15, 2025.

**14. Workers' Compensation Council** (Human Resources)

Acts in an advisory capacity in all matters pertaining to workers' compensation and safety as required for the guidance of management, department heads, officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco ([Admin. Code § 16.121-2](#)).

|                           |                     |                                 |                                                                                                        |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | HRD                 | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 3                                                                                                      |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Staff Working Group | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 6 total seats<br>0 vacant seats (0%)                                                                   |
| <b>Established</b>        | 1961                | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Human Resources,<br>City Administrator,<br>Controller's Office,<br>City Attorney,<br>Retirement, Mayor |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | None                | <b>Qualifications</b>           | None                                                                                                   |

**Evaluation:**

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | No                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive – fewer than 4 meetings in CY24                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | General Admin & Finance – Human Resources<br>1. Civil Service Commission                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic<br>Workers' compensation |

**Staff Recommendation:** Eliminate

The purview of the Workers' Compensation Council could be handled internally by the Department of Human Resources, collaborating with other departments as needed. A codified public body is no longer necessary for this work to be performed.

**15. Commission on Aging Advisory Council** (Human Services Agency)

Advises the Disability and Aging Services Commission on all matters relating to the development, administration, and operations of its area plan, including needs assessments, priorities, programs, budgets, and other matters relating to the wellbeing of the population served within the scope and spirit of federal, state, and local regulations, laws, and ordinances ([Admin. Code § 5.6-4](#)).

|                           |          |                                 |                                                                |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | HSA      | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          |                                                                |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Advisory | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 22 total seats<br>7 vacant seats (32%)                         |
| <b>Established</b>        | 1985     | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Board of Supervisors, Disability and Aging Services Commission |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | None     | <b>Qualifications</b>           | Majority of members must be aged 60+                           |

**Evaluation:**

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | Yes                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive – fewer than 4 meetings in CY24, greater than 25% vacancy rate                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | Disability and Aging<br>1. Disability and Aging Services Commission<br>2. Dignity Fund Oversight and Advisory Committee<br>3. Long Term Care Coordinating Council                                                                                                                                         |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group      Seniors and people with disabilities<br><input type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic |

**Staff Recommendation:** Defer decision-making

Several bodies advise or oversee the Department of Disability and Aging Services (DAS). The Task Force should evaluate these bodies collectively at the October 15 meeting to better understand their interrelated scope, functions, legal authorities, and appointment structures.

## 16. Citizens Committee on Community Development (Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development)

Makes recommendations to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors on United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funding allocations and policy matters that are directly related to community development efforts in the City ([Admin. Code § 2A.290](#)).

|                           |          |                                 |                                                                           |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | MYR      | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 4                                                                         |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Advisory | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 9 total seats<br>3 vacant seats (33%)                                     |
| <b>Established</b>        | 2009     | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Mayor, Board of Supervisors                                               |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | None     | <b>Qualifications</b>           | Various considerations around professional expertise and lived experience |

### Evaluation:

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | Yes – fulfills a requirement to receive HUD funding. If the City chooses to eliminate this body, it will need HUD approval for an alternative process for community input and involvement.                                                                                                                                                |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive – greater than 25% vacancy rate                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | None                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic<br><div style="text-align: right;">HUD community development grants</div> |

### Staff Recommendation: Keep

This body fulfills a HUD requirement to hold public hearings and gather community input in order to receive certain federal grants. The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) leads the City's compliance efforts, but multiple departments benefit from these grant dollars.

If this body were eliminated, the City would need to identify a suitable alternative and receive HUD approval. There is no readily apparent alternative. MOHCD does not have a governance body, nor does it have any other advisory bodies dedicated wholly toward community development. Instead, the department would need to design a new public hearing process from the ground up, and future funding would be contingent upon HUD approval of that new process.

While the City may eliminate this body, the complication and risks appear to outweigh the benefits.

### 17. SoMa Community Stabilization Fund Community Advisory Committee (Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development)

The purpose of the SoMa Community Stabilization Fund Community Advisory Committee is to advise the Mayor's Office of Community Development (MOHCD), the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor on recommended expenditures of the SoMa Community Stabilization Fund. The fund was created to receive stabilization impact fees on certain residential developments in the Rincon Hill Area Plan to mitigate the impacts of residential development and provide community stabilization benefits in SoMa ([Admin. Code § 5.27](#)).

|                           |          |                                 |                                                              |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | MYR      | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 4                                                            |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Advisory | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 7 total seats<br>2 vacant seats (29%)                        |
| <b>Established</b>        | 2006     | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Board of Supervisors                                         |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | 1/1/2035 | <b>Qualifications</b>           | SoMa residency, professional expertise, and lived experience |

#### Evaluation:

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | No                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive – greater than 25% vacancy rate                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | Issues affecting South of Market<br>1. South of Market Community Planning Advisory Committee                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Single funding source      SoMa Community Stabilization Fund<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood      SoMa<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic |

#### Staff Recommendation: Eliminate

The SoMa Community Stabilization Fund Community Advisory Committee advises MOHCD on its administration of the SoMa Community Stabilization Fund. Allocations from the fund are subject to approval from both the Mayor and Board of Supervisors through as-need expenditure ordinances, similar to the accept and expend process for grants.

MOHCD currently administers 25+ specific funding sources without dedicated advisory bodies. It is not clear why this particular fund requires one, particularly since all expenditures from the fund are specifically approved by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors.

### 18. Waterfront Design Advisory Committee (Port)

Reviews major Port waterfront development projects and provides design recommendations to the Port and the San Francisco Planning Department staff and Commission. The purpose of the waterfront design review process is to identify and integrate the State, regional, and local objectives pertaining to the urban design of major, non-maritime development projects and proposed uses in order to optimize the public enjoyment and beneficial use of this public trust resource ([Admin. Code § 240\(c\)](#)).

|                           |          |                                 |                                                                        |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | PRT      | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 1                                                                      |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Advisory | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 5 total seats<br>0 vacant seats (0%)                                   |
| <b>Established</b>        | 1991     | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Port, Planning                                                         |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | None     | <b>Qualifications</b>           | Seats reserved for planners, architects, and historic preservationists |

#### Evaluation:

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | No                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive – fewer than 4 meetings in CY24                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | Planning and Land Use – Port<br>1. Port Commission                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic Waterfront design review |

#### Staff Recommendation: Eliminate

The Port Commission is responsible for issuing most permits for development projects on Port property. The Planning Commission and the Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) may also issue permits for certain projects. The Waterfront Design Advisory Committee advises the Port Commission and Planning Commission on the design of waterfront development projects. Its advice is non-binding and it does not issue any permits. This function could be appropriately handled by a passive meeting body, which is not established in law or subject to the same public meeting requirements as an official policy body.

**19. Elections Commission** (Elections Department)

Sets policies for and is responsible for administering the Department of Elections. Approves written plans before each election, submitted by the Director of Elections, detailing the policies, procedures, and personnel that will be used to conduct the election. After an election, assesses how well the plan succeeded in carrying out a free, fair, and functional election ([Charter § 13.103.5](#)).

|                           |            |                                 |                                                                                                                                                  |
|---------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | REG        | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 12                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Governance | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 7 total seats<br>2 vacant seats (29%)                                                                                                            |
| <b>Established</b>        | 2001       | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Mayor, City Attorney, Treasurer & Tax Collector, District Attorney, Public Defender, San Francisco Unified School District, Board of Supervisors |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | None       | <b>Qualifications</b>           | Some seats require expertise in elections, elections law, or financial management                                                                |

**Evaluation:**

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | No                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive – greater than 25% vacancy rate                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | General Admin & Finance - Elections<br>1. Elections Task Force<br>2. Ballot Simplification Committee                                                                                                                                                 |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic |

**Staff Recommendation:** Keep

While the Elections Commission currently has a high vacancy rate, it met monthly in the past year and is essential to the effective operation of San Francisco government.

**20. Sheriff's Department Oversight Board** (Office of the Sheriff's Inspector General)

Appoints, evaluates the work of, and removes the Inspector General from the Sheriff's Department Office of Inspector General. Reviews and recommends best practices for custodial and patrol operations, incorporates community feedback on Department activities and jail conditions, and reports findings to the Sheriff. Summarizes and submits this information to the Board of Supervisors on a quarterly and annual basis ([Charter § 4.137](#)).

|                           |            |                                 |                                                                                       |
|---------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | SDA        | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 12                                                                                    |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Regulatory | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 7 total seats<br>2 vacant seats (29%)                                                 |
| <b>Established</b>        | 2020       | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | 3 seats by Mayor, 4 seats by Board of Supervisors                                     |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | None       | <b>Qualifications</b>           | One of the BOS seats must be held by a person with experience in labor representation |

**Evaluation:**

| Criteria |                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> | Required by state or federal law | No                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <b>2</b> | Activity                         | Borderline inactive – greater than 25% vacancy rate                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| <b>3</b> | Overlap with other bodies        | None                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| <b>4</b> | Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic<br><div style="text-align: right;">Sheriff's Department oversight</div> |

**Staff Recommendation:** Eliminate

The duties completed by the Sheriff's Department Oversight Board could be adopted by the Department of Police Accountability, centralizing the oversight of public safety departments in San Francisco.

For a summary of public comment received for this body, refer to the Appendix.

## 21. Treasury Oversight Committee (Treasurer and Tax Collector)

Advises the Treasurer on the investment of public funds held in the City and County Treasury. Reviews and provides input on investment policies to ensure the security and performance of deposited funds. Composed primarily of fund depositors, the Committee supports transparency and accountability in the management of public investments ([Admin. Code § 5.9](#)).

|                           |          |                                 |                                                                                                                                                                              |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Primary Department</b> | TTX      | <b>Meetings (CY24)</b>          | 3                                                                                                                                                                            |
| <b>Current Type</b>       | Advisory | <b>Members (as of May 2025)</b> | 7 total seats<br>1 vacant seat (14 %)                                                                                                                                        |
| <b>Established</b>        | 2000     | <b>Appointing Officers</b>      | Treasurer                                                                                                                                                                    |
| <b>Sunset Date</b>        | None     | <b>Qualifications</b>           | 5 nominations forwarded to Treasurer by City departments. 2 seats with financial expertise. Members are primarily the depositors of funds into the City and County Treasury. |

### Evaluation:

| Criteria                                  | Evaluation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b> Required by state or federal law | No, but expressly permitted by state law                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| <b>2</b> Activity                         | Borderline inactive – fewer than 4 meetings in CY24                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| <b>3</b> Overlap with other bodies        | None                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>4</b> Breadth                          | Is the body's focus limited to one of the following?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single funding source<br><input type="checkbox"/> Single neighborhood<br><input type="checkbox"/> Age or demographic group<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Narrow topic Treasury oversight |

### Staff Recommendation: Eliminate

This advisory body is intended to provide public transparency and oversight of a highly complex topic. Its meetings are not well attended and typically feature no public engagement. Meeting materials are based on monthly investment reports that are issued by the Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector. These reports would continue to be published whether or not this body continues to exist.

### **Appendix: Correspondence Received by Body**

Comments received as of 12pm on Friday, August 15.

More details are available at

<https://www.sf.gov/commission-streamlining-task-force-public-correspondence>

#### **Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee (DCYF OAC)**

Public comment was received from 1 member of the public, and 1 letter co-signed by a consortium of over 40 community-based organizations. All wanted to keep the body. The member of the public said the body currently acts as a governance body for the Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families, and the intent of the original legislation was to be as expansive as possible in describing the body's powers.

#### **Justice Tracking Information System (JUSTIS) Committee Governance Council**

Public comment was received from the San Francisco's Chief Information Officer/Department of Technology Director. He supported eliminating the body because 1) it has accomplished its original mandate and no longer plays an active governance or decision-making role, and 2) ongoing operational coordination is now successfully handled by the JUSTIS Operations Workgroup, which includes IT managers from member departments.

#### **Sheriff's Department Oversight Board (SDOB)**

Public comment was received from the Board President and Commission Secretary opposing the recommendation to eliminate the body. The Board President explained the body has set ambitious goals and spent one year obtaining expert and community feedback for hiring the Inspector General. She acknowledged struggles with vacancies but stated the Mayor recently made one appointment, leaving only one seat vacant (for a 15% vacancy rate).

#### **Sweatfree Procurement Advisory Group (SPAG)**

Public comment was received from a member of the advisory group who explained that despite vacancies, SPAG has been meeting recently, and that the transition back to mandatory in-person meetings led the body to reduce its meeting frequency from quarterly to weekly, given many members were continuing to work remotely. The original intent of the 2005 Sweatfree Procurement Ordinance was to expand beyond the garment industry and change global supply chains.