

			A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness			B: Program Design & Implementation		
Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score	Category Score	Optional Rationale		Category Score		
CJCJ (Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice)	Leadership Development & Legal Support for Incarcerated & Formerly Incarcerated Individuals	82	31	Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice (CJCJ) is clear in their mission, and value system. They also demonstrate strong programming and value driven services. It is notable that CJCJ strengths lies within staffing model, which elevates to lived experience of incarceration, reentry and address community challenges for their participants. However, it is unclear how Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice (CJCJ) ensures leadership and decision-making structures that directly reflects service delivery.		9		
CJCJ (Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice)	Leadership Development & Legal Support for Incarcerated & Formerly Incarcerated Individuals	83	24	Strong focus on hiring people with lived experience. Two program examples with outcome data. Description of deep community ties or community-driven design would result in higher score; proposal lists partnerships with specific public agencies but doesn't detail community-based connections.		15		
CJCJ (Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice)	Leadership Development & Legal Support for Incarcerated & Formerly Incarcerated Individuals	91	33	Proposal projects excellent examples on each area answered.		13		

C: Deliverables & Work Plan		D: Detailed Budget		E: Outreach & Community Engagement		
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	
Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice (CJCJ) strong program design and its foundations by thier staffing model, lived experiance, expertise, and service areas. Which include empliyoment readiness, workforce development, long term housing, metal health, familiy supportive services reentry and substance abuse. Clarifying Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice (CJCJ) assessment process, cohort based (participant participation), explanation of peer support model, and intensity and frequency on engagement for job readiness, housing, parenting training and possible crisis intervention if needed throughout participants move throughout programming.		Though CJCJ has outlined a work plan designated to cover a certain number of participants, with deliverables that emphasizes housing support, comprehensive services and identified key barriers. Other key elements of implementation are unclear such as the duration of participation in programming (length), housing timeline (since housing is central component), and post - programming pathways. By this identifying clearer timelines, transition plans and outcome measures would strengthen the work 10 plan .		Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice (CJCJ) clearly identifies and itemizes each line category with organizations costs, 10 allocations and overhead.		Though Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice (CJCJ) articulates in its outreach plan it is also intentional to engaging with both community stakeholders and government agencies. CJCJ ability to build and maintain strong relationships with system partners and local non - profits reflects its role as a trusted partner in reentry and justice reform landscape. Overall, CJCJ outreach framework demonstrates its strong collaboration, while remaining connected to its 9 grassroots community.
Services are comprehensive of the various strategies highlighted in the RFP. Describes focus on/ability to deliver culturally responsive services.		Detailed description, program appears ready to launch. 15		Good description and justification of budget items. More funds for direct participant benefit such as barrier removal, etc., would better support community benefit and result in stronger score, particularly for Cameo House graduates who are no longer housed by Applicant. 6		Strong emphasis on accessibility and tailored engagement 10
Excellent delivery on explanations of program.		Very good with details of each step to be taken during the program. 12		The budget plan was realistic and put together with the hope and intent of providing a quality of services for those in need. 9		Excellent view on the agencies and departments they work with. 9

F: Evaluation
& Reporting

Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale
	Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice (CJCJ) demonstrates the importance of evaluation and reporting through both qualitative and quantitative research. CJCJ identifies its ability to capture service utilization, participant outcomes and highlight lived experience and participant perspectives. An uncertainty is what methods are used for analyzing the data, it was mentioned with systems but how will it populate its percentage in successes, level of participants engagement, service gaps of program 8 refinement.	5 Points	
10	Describes using both qualitative and quantitative methodology, holistic approach to evaluating impact, experience with external evaluators, etc.	3 Points	Letters are good but not necessarily strong as described regarding Applicant success and impact.
10	Excellent report on the process and setup with end results to show a positive improvement with those served.	5 Points	Two great letters from two outstanding agencies.

G: Letters of
Recommendation