

			A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness		B: Program Design & Implementation
Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
Bayview Hunters Point Multipurpose Senior Services	Leadership Development & Legal Support for Incarcerated & Formerly Incarcerated Individuals	65	30	What are the alternatives if BHPMSS cannot serve their targeted population. Does BHPMSS have capacity to serve monolingual seniors? If not, what are the alternatives to serving monolingual cliental.	9
Bayview Hunters Point Multipurpose Senior Services	Leadership Development & Legal Support for Incarcerated & Formerly Incarcerated Individuals	82	30	Strong history of developing programs in response to community need and lived experience of staff. Demonstration of current community-based feedback structures and decision making would result in higher score.	13
Bayview Hunters Point Multipurpose Senior Services	Leadership Development & Legal Support for Incarcerated & Formerly Incarcerated Individuals	70	29	In reading this part of the proposal I the work they have accomplished, but not clear on all the questions being answered.	14

C: Deliverables & Work Plan			D: Detailed Budget		E: Outreach & Community Engagement	
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale
		What are the alternatives for those that do not meet criteria for program at intake assessment, receive case management services, transitional shelter services, substance abuse counseling, or permanent housing. Are there other 10 programs/agencies that you refer to?		Need to see revenue (income sources) Grants & contracts, program services revenue/other income (misc). Additionally, with personal costs - program expenses, materials and supplies, participant support, professional services. Listings of operational/Administrative costs - salaries, positions etc. Lastly, capacity building for staff development - trainings/conferences 2 (cost) and indirect/overhead cost.	7	
Good focus on services and barrier removal to increase community impact. Provides housing support. Strategic location for service population; designed to serve niche gap that represents significant number of justice-involved population. Description of responsiveness to non-English speakers and LGBTQ communities would result in higher score.		Provides appropriate target numbers. Staffing 15 plan looks appropriate		Budget looks appropriate and includes funds for maximize community impact through housing supports. However, budget narrative is missing - some pieces are described in other parts of the proposal but 5 the missing narrative leaves gaps.	10	Multi-pronged outreach described.
This part of the proposal shows in-dept the various levels they will be using from recruiting, referrals, assigned to different tier levels, and assigned a case manager.		This part of the proposal was clear and very 12 good.		0 0 - I do not see a budget at all.		Proposal addresses the capacity to meet the 8 needs requested.

F: Evaluation  
& Reporting

G: Letters of  
Recommendation

Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale
----------------	--------------------	----------------	--------------------

For data tracking and reporting, what measures are being tracked i.e successful, unsuccessful, cliental engagement with intake manger, outcomes for program successes and what are the alternatives for when clients don't meet BHPMSS criteria. What warm hand offs

4 could BHPMSS offer? 3 Points

High level overview seems appropriate but more details would demonstrate intentional and thorough evaluation efforts.

6 3 Points

This part of the proposal was very good, but I was not clear on what they would be using new to improve their process of evaluating and

7 reporting. 0 Points

One letter is strong, one is moderate.

I did not see any letters of recommendations.