

			A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness		B: Program Design & Implementation
Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
CANA-Cultura y Arte Nativa de las Americas	Stabilization of Arts Organizations	100	35		15
CANA-Cultura y Arte Nativa de las Americas	Stabilization of Arts Organizations	86	32	CANA's leadership showcases a depth and history that is unique to its own inception, advocacy, and work. There connected leadership is well documented in the narrative. There needs to be more detail around how the organization ensures that decision-making and service delivery reflect the voices of the community it serves. The narrative notes focus groups and mentoring of individuals from participation in programs; how does that impact the how programs are created? Who does the mentoring and how does it connect to the program examples listed above?	13
CANA-Cultura y Arte Nativa de las Americas	Stabilization of Arts Organizations	78	28	Feedback to applicant: clarify how decision-making by leadership is made. Clear how community voices are included, but unclear how these different voices/insights are then woven into decisions made by the organization.	15

C: Deliverables & Work Plan		D: Detailed Budget		E: Outreach & Community Engagement	
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
	15		10		10
This narrative could use more direct connection or clarity on how the hiring of a capital campaign consultant (noted as the main 'project') will be intentionally responsive to and aligned with community need and engagement. What are the contingency plan if the feasibility study does not come back as assumed?		The expanded details and success of this plan hinges on the hiring of stellar capital campaign consultant; hiring such an individual and/or team takes its own time and recruitment period. This may delay the feasibility of the 9 currently outlined timeline.	9		10
	13		Feedback to applicant: provide more explanation 5 in the application.		7

F: Evaluation & Reporting		G: Letters of Recommendation	
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
	10		5 Points
	Qualitative and quantitative metrics depend on the efficacy and determination of the hired consultant. More detail on exactly what will be measured and with what frequency would be helpful (but likely determined by the project lead). Financial report metrics on the building as well as programmatic impact metrics should be connected in frequency and purpose to support the 8 impact narrative.		5 Points
Feedback to applicant: what are your strategies to reach audiences outside of the Mission neighborhood? How will you leverage your existing partnerships within the Mission neighborhood to reach a wider set of audiences?	Unclear of applicant's strategies to track and measure success of the project during the capital campaign 5 period.		5 Points