

| | | | A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness | | B: Program Design & Implementation |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| Organization Name | Service Area | Total Score | Category Score | Optional Rationale | Category Score |
| Creativity Explored | Stabilization of Arts Organizations | 100 | 35 | | 15 |
| Creativity Explored | Stabilization of Arts Organizations | 95 | 35 | CE fully and succinctly addressed all point. This programmatic expansion, capital campaign, and capacity building will directly support their stabilization in SF and their ability to serve the community, centering access and inclusion. | 14 |
| Creativity Explored | Stabilization of Arts Organizations | 94 | 33 | | 13 |

| C: Deliverables & Work Plan | | D: Detailed Budget | | E: Outreach & Community Engagement | |
|--|----------------|--|----------------|---|----------------|
| Optional Rationale | Category Score | Optional Rationale | Category Score | Optional Rationale | Category Score |
| | 15 | | 10 | | 10 |
| CE focuses no capacity building, soliciting support from 3 consultants, and diversifying revenue sources to support such significant growth. More specific calendar detail should be included (i.e. is year 1 2026 and year 2 is 2027) and/or the intended calendar timeline of when this is all happening. | | | | | |
| They note increased numbers of service and participants, but it does not note by about how many participants or programs. Some specificity here would help. 400 adults have been served since 1983 - how much further could the reach go with this type of expansion. Of course, numbers will be estimated, but what are the supposed rates of growth for the expansion. | | Similarly, there are some vagaries around 'diversifying revenue' which should have more detail (or perhaps that is dependent on the on the consultant). What does diversifying revenue look like for operations -- more 14 programs? more staff? | | The estimate of 15% of the Development Director's time seems very low considering the undertaking. The Consultant's fees also look low considering market rate and the proposal states 8 the desire to hire 3 individuals for consulting. | 10 |
| | 15 | | 10 | | 10 |

| F: Evaluation & Reporting | | G: Letters of Recommendation | |
|--|----------------|---|----------------|
| Optional Rationale | Category Score | Optional Rationale | Category Score |
| | 10 | | 5 Points |
| Perhaps quantify the total number of meetings/opportunities per group over the planned timeline. | | How will the impact of this expansion be measured beyond how many dollars raised or how many programs offered? What are the lasting community and/or participant impacts that will result from CE having a more accessible, modernized, singular, and larger 9 space? | 5 Points |
| Excellent and clear response from the applicant, very clear how they will form and engage with their stakeholders in their project | 8 | | 5 Points |