

A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness

Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score	Category Score	Optional Rationale
San Francisco Recovery Theater	Arts & Cultural Preservation	91	35	Commendable to use art as a tool for healing and rehabilitation; clearly documented history; lead and collaborators have clear connection and professional expertise as mental health practitioner that has experience working with those in recovery; Well-documented history of demonstrating excellence in these presentations/productions; strong production values;
San Francisco Recovery Theater	Arts & Cultural Preservation	74	35	
San Francisco Recovery Theater	Arts & Cultural Preservation	86	33	

B: Program Design & Implementation

Category	Score	Optional Rationale
	13	Their mission, goals, and objectives are clear and consistent; Demonstrated skill and history in this community; Experts at engaging historically marginalized
	8	
	13	

C: Deliverables & Work Plan

Category	Score	Optional Rationale
	15	Clear, consistent and realistic work plan, partnerships make sense. Skilled and experienced personnel. Outlined logistics, schedule, and methods for the success of this project.
	8	
	13	

D: Detailed Budget

E: Outreach & Community Engagement

F: Evaluation & Reporting

Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
9	Budget provides respectful and appropriate compensation for artists. A \$3k payment is meaningful to artist for their time. Kudos. Question: Children's Homeless Network "will not benefit financially from this project" -why not? Why are they donating in-kind assistance? Is there some other "benefit" they'll receive-other than having their participants attend these performances?	6	Positive Directions is a very appropriate outreach partner for this project. Aligning their outreach with organizations that serve this population makes good sense. This applicant missed the opportunity to list more groups (cultural, social services, community groups) in the Tenderloin, Bayview, and other neighborhoods they serve, where they can do community outreach. It seems like it is implied but they should have listed some clearly-identified and/or proposed organizations for outreach.	8
6		6		6
8		8		8

G: Letters of Recommendation

Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale
Good explanation of metrics, methodology, and tracking of data for evaluation purposes.	5 Points	Letters from partner organizations who have served as collaborators on projects.

5 Points
3 Points