

A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness

Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score	Category Score	Optional Rationale
USADA	Youth Development & Education	94	35	Clearly described organization's mission, history, and track record Provided specific examples of at least two (2) proven and documented past programs and/or projects that successfully served historically marginalized communities. Highlighted the lived experience, expertise, and qualifications of key staff members. Explained how their organization ensures that leadership, decision-making, and service delivery reflect the voices and needs of the community served
USADA	Youth Development & Education	96	35	
USADA	Youth Development & Education	85	29	Deeply rooted in SF's marginalized community, staff has lived experience and many years of working in D10.

B: Program Design & Implementation

Category Score	Optional Rationale
15	Clearly articulated the purpose, objectives, and intended impact of the proposed program, Provided a structured plan detailing program design, engagement strategies, milestones, Explained how the program fosters long-term community impact, promotes cultural responsiveness. Described how accessibility and inclusivity will be embedded within the program.
15	
14	Strong program design and implementation plan.

C: Deliverables & Work Plan

Category Score	Optional Rationale
9	Key deliverables and measurable outputs were vaguely defined. No mention of specific projected number of youth the program intends to serve. Provided a detailed logistical and operational plan, including partnerships, staffing, service coordination, and risk mitigation strategies. Clearly outlined roles and responsibilities of key personnel, partners, and collaborators, with an emphasis on community-rooted leadership and cultural responsiveness. Identified risk mitigation strategies and contingency plans to ensure continuity in service delivery.
15	
14	Clear deliverables and work plan with clear bench marks for success.

D: Detailed Budget		E: Outreach & Community Engagement		F: Evaluation & Reporting
Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
10	Submitted a completed Attachment II – Budget and Fiscal Reporting Template Provided a clear budget narrative the budgeted reflects realistic, necessary, and allowable expenses under the funding guidelines. Explained how your organization will leverage additional resources, in-kind contributions.	10	Described the outreach plan for engaging the community members who could most greatly benefit from the Service Areas, with an emphasis on strategies that are culturally relevant and community-driven. Explained how partnerships with community organizations, local businesses, and stakeholders will be utilized to expand reach and deepen impact. Addressed accessibility considerations, such as multilingual outreach, disability accommodations, and other tailored engagement efforts for historically underserved communities. Demonstrated how your outreach strategy will foster trust and long-term relationships within the community	10
6	This organization is missing the table in the proposal.	10		10
9	Budget proposal is adequate for the program they are proposing.	8	Satisfactory response	6

G: Letters of Recommendation

Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale
Provided a plan for tracking, measuring, and reporting key performance metrics Outlined the methodology for collecting and analyzing data, Explained how they will ensure transparency and accountability. Described how community feedback will be incorporated into program improvement	5 Points	Submitted 2 strong letters of recommendation
Clear evaluation plan	5 Points	