Organization Name NABUHA Sanctum	Service Area Youth Development & Education	Total Score	74
TO DOT IN CONTOURING	rodan Bovolopmont & Education		, ,
NABUHA Sanctum NABUHA Sanctum	Youth Development & Education Youth Development & Education		48 57
	. oaa. 20.0.opo/it a Education		•

A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness Category Score Option

B: Program Design & Implementation Category Score

	Optional Rationale	Category Score	
23	Described organization's mission, history, and track record align with the goals and priorities outlined in this RFP, particularly its commitment to cultural inclusion, community empowerment, and systemic equity.		10
	Provided examples of at least two (2) proven and documented past programs		
	Highlighted the lived experience, expertise, and qualifications of key staff members involved in program delivery, including their ability to engage with community members who could most greatly benefit from the Service Areas in a meaningful and respectful way.		
47	Could have described more details of how they intend to to engage with specific neighborhoods and disenfranchised community of the San Francisco		0
17			3
16	Good proposal with proven projects that outlines their current scope of work. Unclear how their program has served the African American Community.		10

C: Deliverables & Work Plan

Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	
Clearly articulated the purpose, objectives, and intended impact of the proposed		8 Defined key deliverables and measurable outputs. However, could have had more emphasis in ensuring they align with the anticipated outcomes		10
program,		specified in the RFP.		
Could have described more alignment with the Service Area priorities identified in		Provided detail the logistical and operational plan, including partnerships,		
this RFP. particularly describing how they will work with specific neighborhoods and communities identified in the RFP.		staffing, service coordination, and risk mitigation strategies.		
and communices identified in the KFF.		Clearly outline roles and responsibilities of key personnel, partners, and collaborators, .		
Provided a structured plan detailing program design, engagement strategies,		Did not clearly identity risk mitigation strategies and contingency plans to		
milestones, and a timeline for implementation, including how the program will be		ensure continuity in service delivery.		
adapted to meet the specific needs of a variety of diverse community members.				
could have explained how the program fosters long-term community impact of				
communities in SF.				
Described how accessibility and inclusivity will be embedded within the program.				
This organization is not in alignment with this particular service area.		9		7
Provided a good program design and implementation plan with weekly deliverable and goals. Participants will learn hard skills.	S	10 Identifies clear deliverables and measurable outputs for the proposed program.		6
and goals. I altiopants will learn hard skills.		program.		

D: Detailed Budget

	Engagement		Reporting
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
Submited a completed Attachment II – Budget and Fiscal Reporting Template and insert a table into your proposal document Provided a clear budget narrative justifying cost allocations and demonstrating how funds will be used to implement the program the budget reflects realistic, necessary, and allowable expenses unde the funding guidelines. Explained how your organization will leverage additional resources, inkind contributions.		10 Described the outreach plan for engaging the community members. Explained how partnerships with community organizations, local businesses, and stakeholders will be utilized to expand reach and deepen impact. Addressed accessibility considerations, such as multilingual outreach, disability accommodations, and other tailored engagement efforts Demonstrated how outreach strategy will foster trust and long-term relationships within the community.	10
Provides a clear budget rational and discusses their financial		5 6 Outlines a detailed plan for their outreach and communication strategy.	4 6

F: Evaluation &

E: Outreach & Community

strategies.

G: Letters of Recommendation

Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale
Provided a plan for tracking, measuring, and reporting key performance metrics Outlined the methodology for collecting and analyzing data, Explained how they will ensure transparency and accountability. Describe how community feedback will be incorporated into program improvement	3 Points	Submitted 2 letters however, one of them was highlighting an individual's credential's as oppose to the entire program's work.
	3 Points	

Demonstrates a good plan for administering, collecting and analyzing 3 Points data about programs and being transparent about the findings.