Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score	
Ladies in Power	Youth Development & Education		97
Ladies in Power	Youth Development & Education		40
Ladies in Power	Youth Development & Education		62

	A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness		B: Program Design & Implementation	&
	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	
97		35 Clearly describe organization's mission, history, and track record		15
		Provided specific examples of at least two (2) proven and documented past programs and/or projects that successfully served historically marginalized communities		
		Highlighted the lived experience, expertise, and qualifications of key staff members involved in program delivery,		
		Explained how their organization ensures that leadership, decision-making, and service delivery reflect the voices and needs of the community served.		
40		15		4
62		23 Provided a clear description and back ground of the organization as well as		10

the lived experience and expertise of the staff members in the organization.

C: Deliverables & Work Plan

Optional Rationale Clearly articulated the purpose, objectives, and intended impact of the proposed program, Provided a structured plan detailing program design, engagement strategies, milestones, Explained how the program fosters long-term community impact, promotes cultura responsiveness. Described how accessibility and inclusivity will be embedded within the program.	Category Score	Optional Rationale 15 Defined key deliverables and measurable outputs, Detailed the logistical and operational plan. Presented roles and responsibilities of each role in the staffing plan Identified risk mitigation strategies and contingency plans to ensure continuity in service delivery.	Category Score 7
		4 Organization failed to show risk mitigation and contingency plan.	4
Provided a clear program design and implementation plan.		12 Deliverables and work plan are clear and concise with a projected number of youth that will be served and bench marks of success.	f 1

D: Detailed Budget

E: Outreach & Community	
Engagement	

	Engagement		Reporting
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
Clear budget narrative justifying cost allocations and demonstrating how funds will be used Budget and salary for direct program staff is on the lower end and does not appear to sustainable for recruitment and retention Could have included more details about additional resources, in-kind contributions, or community partnerships to enhance effectiveness.		10 Described the outreach plan for engaging the community members who could most greatly benefit from the Service Areas, w Explained how partnerships with community organizations, local businesses, and stakeholders will be utilized to expand reach Addressed accessibility considerations, such as multilingual outreach Demonstrated how your outreach strategy will foster trust and long-term relationships.	10
		4 Engagement and community outreach is year-round. However, there was no strategy on the targeted schools, besides demographics.	4
Did not provide a budget narrative.		6 Provided a description of different modalities of outreach and community engagement: distribution of flyers in the community, word of mouth etc.	5

F: Evaluation &

G: Letters of Recommendation

Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale
Provided a plan for tracking, measuring, and reporting key performance metrics Outlined the methodology for collecting and analyzing data, Explained how they will ensure transparency and accountability. Described how community feedback will be incorporated into program improvement	5 Points	Submitted 2 strong letters of recommendation.
	5 Points	
Provides a plan for data collection, but does not talk about how the data will be analyzed.	5 Points	Provided two strong letters of recommendation