

A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness

Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score	Category Score	Optional Rationale
Jumuiya Research Institute (JRI)	Youth Development & Education	82		31 The proposal clearly mentions the mission, history, and track record providing relevant services. It showed how the agency includes community voices in service delivery through its research methods
Jumuiya Research Institute (JRI)	Youth Development & Education	64		24
Jumuiya Research Institute (JRI)	Youth Development & Education	53		18 New org, very green. 2 examples were not clear - felt more like one example. Staff are highly educated

B: Program Design & Implementation

Category Score	Optional Rationale
12	The program design was clear
8	
8	young people learning the foundations of research projects, with a community well-being lens. Feels more like a research project than a community grant, more going through the process verses the actually impact.

C: Deliverables & Work Plan

Category Score	Optional Rationale
9	Deliverables could have been expanded more and included specific projections Since this program requires a long commitment, one of the Risks to potentially mitigate is retention vs disengagement, which was not mentioned
7	
9	

D: Detailed Budget

E: Outreach & Community Engagement

F: Evaluation & Reporting

Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
	7 Detailed budget with clear explanations and cost breakdowns. It is a lot to ask for serving only 50 youth; but it is understandable that participants will be receiving guidance and mentorship from experts in the field		10 Clear strategies for outreach and community engagement	8
6			7	7
5	The request feels high and out of range. Lots of subcontractors. Speakers and mentor costs were high.		4 could use more detail	4

G: Letters of Recommendation

Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale
the proposal includes detail on measuring participant needs, progress, and achievement. It could have provide more detail on professional development and action steps for continuous program quality improvement	5 Points	The letters of recommendation are "Strong" and the supporters are knowledgeable on the agency's services and have demonstrated past collaboration with them
could use more detail.	5 Points	