

A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness

Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score	Category Score	Optional Rationale
Hunters Point	Youth Development & Education	94	35	The proposal clearly mentions the mission, history, and track record providing relevant services. It showed how the agency's programs address equity through its various programs. The examples it provided clearly describe the clientele's demonstrated needs and gaps in services and opportunities, and the outcomes from the agency's services.
Hunters Point	Youth Development & Education	79	30	
Hunters Point	Youth Development & Education	80	28	Serving BVHP since 1996. 2 good examples provided of serving the young people in the community (specifically at SFUSD sites).

B: Program Design & Implementation

Category Score	Optional Rationale
13	The program design is mostly clear. However it did not specify how services differentiate among age groups since they serve 5-17
11	
11	Expansion of 3 current programs but new packaging of Thrive Bayview. Demonstrated connection with the community they serve.

C: Deliverables & Work Plan

Category Score	Optional Rationale
13	Overall this contains clear deliverables, workplan, and timeline that are very detailed and seem attainable with their team and the described skill sets. Under Risk Mitigation, any possible issues with retention and disengagement of participants could have been described.
10	
13	Deliverables clearly listed, lots of partnerships

D: Detailed Budget

E: Outreach & Community Engagement

F: Evaluation & Reporting

Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
10	Detailed budget with clear explanations and cost breakdowns	10	Clear strategies for outreach and community engagement	8
7		9		7
5	Lacked good clear narrative.	9		9

G: Letters of Recommendation

Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale
the proposal includes detail on measuring participant needs, progress, and achievement. It could have provide more detail on professional development and action steps for continuous program quality improvement	5 Points	The letters of recommendation are "Strong" and the supporters are knowledgeable on the agency's services and have demonstrated past collaboration with them
	5 Points	
	5 Points	