

A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness

Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score	Category Score	Optional Rationale
Homeless Children's Network	Youth Development & Education	97	35	The proposal very clearly mentions the mission, history, and track record providing relevant services. It showed how the agency's programs address equity through its various programs. The examples it provided clearly describe the clinetele's demonstrated needs and gaps in services and opportunities, and the outcomes from the agency's services.
Homeless Children's Network	Youth Development & Education	86	32	
Homeless Children's Network	Youth Development & Education	81	33	Afri-centric approach identified and trauma informed care. Diagram with 6 principles. 2 examples were strong and clear.

B: Program Design & Implementation

Category Score	Optional Rationale
15	This section clearly laid out the program design and implementation plan for providing youth 1:1 support, cohort-based leadership and engagement opportunities, identity-specific groups, and service linkages.
13	
9	14-18 yr olds. MYL's expansion goals. Honed in on population. Lots of workshops (soft skill development).

C: Deliverables & Work Plan

Category Score	Optional Rationale
12	Deliverables were clearly described. Risk Mitigation could have been expanded more with examples of known issues and foreseeable issues such as retention vs disengagement
12	
12	40 youth served, 10 in paid internships. Seems a reasonable ration for population identified. Culminating youth summit.

D: Detailed Budget

E: Outreach & Community Engagement

F: Evaluation & Reporting

Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
10	Detailed budget with clear explanations and cost breakdowns. It is a large ask, but the program is expanding and serves a very high need part of its community	10	Clear strategies for outreach and community engagement	10
8	ED and COO salaries are high, and a small portion of the overall ask. Good narrative piece.	8		8
		8		6

G: Letters of Recommendation

Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale
10 - the proposal includes a lot of detail on measuring participant needs, progress, and achievement, and it also mentions its approach to Continuous Program Quality Improvement	5 Points	The letters of recommendation are "Strong" and the supporters are knowledgeable on the agency's services and have demonstrated past collaboration with them
	5 Points	
	5 Points	