| Organization Name | Service Area | Total Score |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Asianweek Foundation | Youth Development & Education | 49 |
| Asianweek Foundation | Youth Development & Education | 71 |
| Asianweek Foundation | Youth Development & Education | 39 |

A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness

| Category Score | Optional Rationale |
|----------------|---|
| | 18 This section addressed all of the prompts and was to the point. More details could have been provided to give a clearer picture of the demonstrated need and how services have provided lasting impacts to address conditions that the marginalized community it serves has felt. It also does not address how leadership, decision-making, and service delivery reflect the voices and needs of the community served |
| | 26 15 Needs much more detail. |

B: Program Design & Implementation

C: Deliverables & Work Plan

| Category Score | Optional Rationale | Category Score | Optional Rationale |
|----------------|--|----------------|--|
| | 6 much of this section was vague beyond mentioning the components of the program | | 4 much of this section was vague beyond stating bulleted points in the timeline. It doesn't give a clear picture of the proposed impacts |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | 10 | | 9 |
| | 4 Needs much more detail. Failed to articulate their vision. Very broad, lacking clarity | | 5 |

| D: Detailed |
|-------------|
| Budget |

E: Outreach & Community Engagement

F: Evaluation & Reporting

| Category Score | Optional Rationale | Category Score | Optional Rationale | Category Score |
|----------------|---|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | 6 the budget line items are clear and relevant to the implementation of the program, but there was no narrative | ıf | 5 vague response | 5 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 7 | | 7 | 7 |
| | 4 Budget narrative was 3 sentences | | 3 | 3 |

G: Letters of Recommendation

| | 1 to common dation | |
|--------------------|----------------------|---|
| Optional Rationale | Category Score | Optional Rationale |
| vague response | 5 Points | The letters of recommendation are "Strong" and the supporters are knowledgeable on the agency's services and have demonstrated past collaboration with them |
| | 5 Points 5 Points | |