

			A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness		B: Program
Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
Children's Council of San Francisco	Food Security	94	35	The proposal provides a thorough description of the organization's mission, history, and track record conducting services that are aligned with the goals and priorities of the RFP. It also provided examples of 2 previous programs that have successfully address food insecurity amongst historically marginalized communities. It also highlights key staff and leaders who will be engaged in service delivery and program decisions. Lastly the organization established the Black Early Childhood Educator Policy Council to ensure that leadership and decision-making reflects the voices and needs of community.	15
Children's Council of San Francisco	Food Security	94	34		15
Children's Council of San Francisco	Food Security	73	23		9

	C: Deliverab		D: Detailed		E: Outreach	
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	
The applicant provides a detailed description of the purpose, objectives, and intended impact of the proposed program and its alignment with the RFP. The program aims to address food insecurity amongst children and their families by providing nutrition equity support to child care providers with an emphasis on the Black and marginalized communities in SF. The proposal describes the use of the HAP intervention and engagement in their Black ECE Policy Council as key components to their program design, and includes a timeline with detailed milestones. The organization plans to leverage existing relationships in the city's child care community to engage child care providers, and have developed materials that are tailored to the specific experiences and values of marginalized communities. Their materials are created in three languages to ensure access to different linguistic groups. Lastly, participants are encouraged to provide feedback so that program topics are tailored to the needs of community for future service delivery.		12 The proposal includes a table that details the key deliverables and associated outputs. While the lists within the table are comprehensive, as it is written it is unclear which are the deliverables vs the outputs. The proposal outlines all key personnel that will engaged in service coordination and program improvement. The proposal does not provide details on their risk mitigation strategies or contingency plans to ensure continuity of services.		10 The proposal includes Budget and Fiscal Reporting Template in Attachment II. The proposed budget is reasonable and the budget narrative clearly demonstrates how funds will be used to maximize impact. The budget only includes allowable expenses and emphasizes community benefit and equitable compensation. In FY24-25 their operating budget was \$245 million which gives the the leverage to utilize additional resources to enhance their programs.	9	
	13		9			8
Newly developed project. Proposed long term impact is not that clear.	12		9			10

F: Evaluation		G: Letters of	
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
<p>The proposer will utilize various engagement strategies including, in-person meetings, emails, phone calls, texts, flyer distribution, and a referral pathway to engage with the intended audience. They will leverage existing partnerships with Black ECE Pipeline participants, leaders and their network to expand reach and deepen impact. Some of their community partners include Yeyi Organics, Shape Up San Francisco Coalition, and People's budget coalition. In their proposal they mention having a long history of creating innovative ECE programs and working with participants to recognize barriers and set them up for long-term success which showcases their commitment to long-term impact. In a previous section, the proposer mentions that they offer multilingual services and materials, but that information is not included in this section.</p>		8 The proposer has the organizational infrastructure to track, measure, and report on program outcomes. They have outlined a methodology by which they will collect and analyze program data; utilizing a mixed methods approach. They continue to solicit input from pervious program participants, stakeholders, and employers to ensure their program remains successful. Feedback is utilized to fine tune their program outcomes, participant experiences, and strategies. It is unclear how the proposer intendeds to ensure transparency and accountability in reporting outcomes to the city.	5 Points
	10		5 Points
	7		3 Points