

			A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness		B: Program
Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
YMCA- Buchanan	Community Health & Wellness	85	30		11
YMCA- Buchanan	Community Health & Wellness	90	31		11
YMCA- Buchanan	Community Health & Wellness	91	31	Strengths: 175-year history; Buchanan YMCA has served Japantown/Western Addition since 1886; deep commitment to BIPOC and marginalized communities; systemic equity strategy and anti-racism framework; culturally and linguistically responsive programming; strong past programs (African American Holistic Wellness, Active Older Adults). Gaps: While strong on history and inclusion, slightly less detail on staff lived experience compared to other applicants.	13

	C: Deliverab		D: Detailed		E: Outreach
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
I would have liked to see more detail in the milestones and timelines section to know their programs are more fleshed out.	12 14		9 9		10 10
Strengths: Clear purpose—bringing holistic wellness into the community (housing, senior centers, parks); three-track program (Lifestyle Changes, Let’s Get Movin’, Connections); strong objectives (reduce chronic disease, improve mental/emotional health, increase belonging); evidence-based models (Diabetes Prevention Program, Brain Health).	13	Strengths: Specific outputs (2–4 cohorts annually, group classes with 20 participants each, surveys with 85% satisfaction targets); clear staffing roles (Senior Director, Program Coordinator, Wellness Coaches, Group Instructors); partnerships with OnLok, local schools, and Buchanan Mall revitalization groups; risk mitigation through trauma-informed and elder abuse prevention training.	9	Strengths: Budget (\$294,454.01) is detailed and tied to program activities; line items include staff salaries, training, curriculum licensing, outreach, equipment, food, and indirect costs; justification clearly links costs to program delivery; salaries and fringe reasonable; indirect rate (10%) is standard.	10
Gaps: Timeline is detailed but could benefit from more clarity on evaluation checkpoints and adaptation.		Gaps: Some deliverables are ambitious given staffing levels; could include more detail on contingency planning if recruitment is low.		Gaps: Heavy reliance on external certification/licensing fees (\$20,500) could raise sustainability questions beyond grant cycle.	

F: Evaluation		G: Letters of	
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
	8		5 Points
	10		5 Points
<p>Strengths: Robust strategy: door-to-door, tabling, schools, housing sites, community events; multilingual materials (Chinese, Japanese, Spanish, Tagalog); strong partnerships with Mo'Magic, Urban Services YMCA, schools, senior centers; emphasis on hiring local staff with lived experience; accessibility focus (ADA compliance, free/low-cost services).</p> <p>Gaps: None major—outreach is very strong.</p>	10	<p>Strengths: Clear framework: mixed methods (surveys, focus groups, Results Based Accountability); tools include Salesforce TREC and WELLD (HIPAA-compliant); cohort-specific measures (weight, food/activity trackers, cognitive assessments); continuous quality improvement through community steering committee and real-time feedback loops.</p> <p>Gaps: None significant—evaluation is well thought out and aligned with RFP.</p>	5 Points