

			A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness		B: Program
Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
SFLGBT Center	Community Health & Wellness	91	32		13
SFLGBT Center	Community Health & Wellness	87	35		11
SFLGBT Center	Community Health & Wellness	89	33	<p>Strengths:</p> <p>SFLGBT Center Longstanding, credible anchor institution (since 2002), with 35,000 sq. ft. facility and 9,000 monthly visits.</p> <p>Deep program portfolio across economic empowerment, youth, cultural, and wellness.</p> <p>Demonstrated commitment to equity—racial equity plan, overrepresentation of trans, BIPOC, disabled staff in leadership.</p> <p>Clear examples (Queer &amp; Well, Queer Vibes) show innovation, measurable reach, and focus on marginalized groups.</p> <p>Gaps:</p> <p>Strong HIV focus, but less emphasis on broader non-HIV chronic health conditions (though Queer &amp; Well touches this).</p> <p>Could strengthen evidence of long-term health outcomes vs. participation numbers.</p>	13

	C: Deliverab		D: Detailed		E: Outreach
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
	14		9		9
In the narrative, I would have liked to hear more about the logistics and details for getting people tested for HIV and connecting people living with HIV to care.	12		7	I would like to see more justification for each cost beyond explaining what the cost is associated with.	8
Strengths:	14	Strengths:	7	Strengths:	9
Two program pillars: You Shall LHIV 2: ZERO (arts-based HIV stigma reduction with testing/care) and Black Queer & Well (BQW) (fitness/wellness).		Concrete outputs: audience targets, testing and re-engagement numbers, participant counts, practitioner numbers.		Budget totals align (\$146,898.60).	
Detailed milestones and year 1 vs. year 2 planning for HIV programming.		Clear staff assignments with FTE percentages; thoughtful risk mitigation (quiet room, counseling, waivers).		Good balance between personnel, practitioners, outreach, and facility costs.	
Clear integration with existing Queer & Well infrastructure, plus partnerships with SF AIDS Foundation, STRUT, and SF Community Health.		Detailed logistics (facilities staff, communications, volunteer structure).		Indirect costs capped at 10%—compliant.	
Gaps:		Gaps:		Gaps:	
“You Shall LHIV 2: ZERO” relies on 2 nights of performances annually—scope may be limited unless leveraged with broader digital distribution.		Could add more SMART follow-up outcomes (e.g., % of HIV-tested individuals successfully linked to care within 30 days; % of workshop attendees reporting increased activity after 3 months).		Budget tables provided as images—must be inserted in RFP’s Attachment II table format.	
BQW workshops solid, but sustainability beyond one year still aspirational.				Space rentals are internal to the Center—need to clarify whether these are allowable vs. in-kind contributions.	
				Professional services (\$23k) breakdown (cast, crew, practitioners) should show unit rates/quantities for compliance.	
				Food costs (\$2,200) are modest but need to be linked to programmatic justification (participant health engagement).	

F: Evaluatio		G: Letters of	
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
	9		5 Points
I would like to have seen more detail regarding multilingual outreach	9		5 Points
Strengths:	8	Strengths:	5 Points
Very strong communications infrastructure (16k mailing list, 150k monthly website visitors, 35k social reach).		Process measures tracked by staff, biweekly check-ins.	
Cross-promotion via program partners and artists.		Mixed-method evaluation (attendance, testing numbers, QR-coded surveys, web analytics, practitioner debriefs).	
Accessibility: ASL interpretation, ADA facility, livestream + captions. Gap:		Transparency: community engagement in monologue/story selection and practitioner recruitment. Gaps:	
Multilingual outreach limited to “exploring AfroLatinx needs.” More clarity needed on Spanish/Chinese coverage to meet citywide equity expectations.		Lacks a defined data system owner or timeline for reporting.	
		MEL/TOC development mentioned, but not yet tied into current grant deliverables.	