

			A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness			B: Program
Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	
La Raza Community Resource Center	Community Health & Wellness	55	27		3	
La Raza Community Resource Center	Community Health & Wellness	31	14	The content is not consistent with the service area they applied for. La Raza Community Resource Center has 50+ years of mission-driven service rooted in the Chicano Movement; long-standing, community-owned site (Centro del Pueblo); deep cultural/language alignment with Latino & Indigenous immigrant families; strong lived-experience staffing/volunteer base; large current reach (600 families weekly; 20k households last year) and clear equity lens. Could further strengthen with brief bios for additional leaders and more recent quantitative outcome data (beyond counts served).	1	
La Raza Community Resource Center	Community Health & Wellness	70	30		8	

	C: Deliverab		D: Detailed		E: Outreach
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
This section was left blank	3		6		7
	1	Section left blank	4		5
Purpose and intended impact are clear (food access + reclamation; culturally responsive operations) and there's evidence of ongoing need (waitlist). However, this section reads more like prompts than a full plan: limited cohort structure, milestones, timeline, staffing ratios, and escalation/continuity details. Add a concise 12-month timeline, weekly service cadence, throughput targets, and adaptation protocols (e.g., surge demand, supply variability).		Solid operational context (70 volunteers; coordinator oversight) and partnerships, but the proposal doesn't enumerate specific outputs for the grant period (e.g., households served/month, pounds of food recovered, % culturally preferred items, waitlist reduction). Risk mitigation (e.g., supply chain gaps, volunteer coverage, cold storage failure) and clear role descriptions for each staff line are light.		Thorough line items with clear ties to operations (coordination, interpreter access, outreach, stipends, CMS, equipment).	
					9

F: Evaluation		Letters of Recomm	
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
Not consistent with reaching the RFP target population	9	0 Points	No letters submitted
Strong, trust-based plan leveraging promotoras; multilingual, multi-channel outreach (flyers, radio, social, ethnic media); robust coalition ties (SFLPEC, SFILEN, SFMFB, OCEIA ambassadors); clear path to expand food reclamation with local businesses. To max out: add language list targets, accessibility specifics (e.g., prioritized pickup hours for elders/disabled, ADA flow), and outreach funnel KPIs (contact→enrollment→repeat pickup rates).	6	0 Points	
	Practical mixed-methods approach (daily “Happy/Not,” monthly surveys, short interviews) plus CMS tracking of participation and follow-ups; visible feedback loop via bulletin board/community reporting. Add a simple logic model, quarterly reporting cadence, defined success thresholds (e.g., ≥85% satisfaction, ≤10% missed pickups), and data stewardship (privacy, 8 language access, who analyzes/when).	0 Points	