

			A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness		B: Program
Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
From the Garden to the Table	Community Health & Wellness	85	29		13
From the Garden to the Table	Community Health & Wellness	84	30	I would like a better description of how the qualifications of staff members relate to the proposed services to be delivered.	13
From the Garden to the Table	Community Health & Wellness	79	28	From the Garden to the Table (FGTT) demonstrates a strong track record (20+ years) delivering culturally relevant programs in African American and Latino communities, including youth cooking education, SRO programs, and partnerships with local schools and restaurants. Leadership and staff have lived experience and cultural ties to the communities served, strengthening credibility. However, the narrative is lengthy and sometimes anecdotal, with limited recent quantitative impact data, which slightly reduces clarity and strength compared to “Excellent.”	11

Optional Rationale	C: Deliverab	Optional Rationale	D: Detailed	Optional Rationale	E: Outreach
	Category Score		Category Score		Category Score
	13		9		10
This proposed program would fill a vital need in SRO's, but I would like a broader reach into the Tenderloin community	13		8	More detail should be provided to justify budget related costs.	9
The SRO Safety and Prevention Exchange Project is well-aligned with the RFP's health and wellness focus. The design is structured (six-week sessions, cooking systems, graduation events) and supported by city data/research. The program clearly promotes cultural responsiveness, self-sufficiency, and long-term community impact. Some details on adaptation for diverse groups (beyond SRO context) and scalability are less developed, leaving room for improvement.	12	The timeline is detailed, with clear phases (outreach, recruitment, classes, events, evaluation). Deliverables and outputs (sign-in sheets, evaluations, recipe book) are well-defined, and roles/responsibilities are outlined. Risk mitigation is implied (safe cooking systems, fire department consultation) but could be more explicit in addressing program continuity if barriers arise.	8	The budget is comprehensive and aligned with program activities (equipment, staffing, outreach, translation, insurance). The narrative justifies costs, with a strong emphasis on equitable and sustainable resources. However, the proportion allocated to program supplies (\$184,000) dominates the budget and could benefit from clearer justification on unit costs and sustainability beyond the grant period.	

Optional Rationale	F: Evaluatio	Optional Rationale	G: Letters of	Optional Rationale
	Category Score		Category Score	
	8		3 Points	
	8	A more detailed description of data collection and strategies for analyzing data should be mentioned in this section.	3 Points	One letter does not detail how this organization would be able to execute this type of program.
The proposal identifies solid community partnerships (Episcopal Community Services, Whole Foods, St. Anthony's, etc.) and outreach tactics (events, flyers, SRO engagement). Language access is included in the budget, showing attention to inclusivity. Still, the engagement strategy could expand on approaches to build deeper resident trust and ensure representation from diverse SRO populations, especially around disability, immigrant, and multilingual communities.	7	FGTT outlines measurable outcomes (increased fruit/vegetable intake, reduced sugary drinks, improved self-efficacy). Data collection methods (evaluations, surveys, video) are listed, but the plan is somewhat broad and lacks detail on systematic data analysis or reporting mechanisms. Integration of community feedback into program improvements is implied but not thoroughly described.	5 Points	