Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score
Brothers For Change	Community Health & Wellness	70
Brothers For Change	Community Health & Wellness	55
Diotricis For Gridings	Community realth a Welliess	33
Brothers For Change	Community Health & Wellness	61

	A: Orga	B: Program	
е	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
70		There is a lack of specifics about the two programs that are shown to be	10
55		18 proven programs. I'm not sure who the key staff members are. While the organization demonstrates commitment to serving marginalized communities and has achieved measurable outcomes in workforce development, the proposal lacks depth in demonstrating cultural responsiveness and community empowerment in the health and wellness space specifically. The organization's track record, while positive, is relatively brief and focused primarily on EMT training rather	7
61		18 than broader community health initiatives.	9

	C:		D:		E:
	Delivera	ab	Detailed	i	Outreach
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
The descriptions of what the proposed programs will do is very vague and hard to distinguish one program from another. There are many programs listed but I'm not sure who will lead them or what the program components will be.		The proposal lacks specifics throughout. It's hard to determine who will lead these programs or what roles individuals will play as part of this effort.		8	8
The program has a solid foundation with clear objectives and a practical approach, but lacks the depth of cultural integration and community-driven design elements expected for this RFP. The sustainability model is commendable, but the overall design feels more like a service delivery model than a community empowerment initiative.		The work plan is practical and achievable with clear deliverables, bu lacks the comprehensive operational planning and risk management strategies expected for a program of this scope. Partnership 10 agreements appear preliminary.		Budget is realistic and well-structured with appropriate allocations. However, the proposal could better demonstrate hor funds maximize community benefit and leverage additional 7 resources.	ow 7

F:	G:
Evaluatio	Letters of

Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale
		7	3 Points	
The methods for outreach sound good however I'm not sure how, and where they will do this type of outreach. For example, they mention radio, tv, adds to promote but on what channels? When will they air etc		They list a lot of data to be collected but it's unclear who will analyze this data. Also, I would like to hear more about outcomes they will track in 5 addition to tracking attendance and the number of trainings.	3 Points	One letter does not attest to the organizations ability to successfully execute community based programs and initiatives.
While the organization demonstrates understanding of community engagement basics, the strategy lacks the depth and cultural specificity expected for effectively reaching historically marginalized communities as outlined in the RFP.		The evaluation plan covers basic requirements but lacks the comprehensive approach needed to measure meaningful community impact and 5 demonstrate accountability to both funders and community members.	e 5 Points	