

			A: Organizational Background & Cultural Responsiveness		B: Program
Organization Name	Service Area	Total Score	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
Asianweek Foundation	Community Health & Wellness	65	21		11
Asianweek Foundation	Community Health & Wellness	43	17	Lacks some detail on how equity will be promoted Reference to any Community Farm has a strong mission alignment with RFP goals of health equity, food sovereignty, and community empowerment Excellent track record with 10+ years of operation and growth from volunteer garden to SF's largest community-operated urban farm Two documented successful programs serving marginalized communities: Bayview Food Sovereignty Initiative (2018-2021) Healthy Harvest Project (2019-2022) Solid staff expertise with relevant experience, though could better highlight lived experience connections to community Some evidence of community-led decision making but could be more explicit about community governance structures I would like to see More specific examples of how community voices drive leadership and decision-making processes.	7
Asianweek Foundation	Community Health & Wellness	83	28		13

	C: Deliverab		D: Detailed		E: Outreach
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
Needs more specifics how inclusivity will be embedded in the program and how a diverse group of community members will be included in the	13		7		5
	4	Structure is unclear and lacks a detailed workplan	2	There is no budget narrative	5
Clear SMART objectives with specific, measurable targets Well-structured three-pronged approach: nutrition workshops, produce distribution, wellness programs Strong cultural responsiveness with multilingual programming Comprehensive accessibility considerations including home delivery for mobility-limited residents Clear integration of community leadership through volunteer training and transition planning Detailed implementation strategy with clear milestones and culturally tailored programming.		Clear deliverables with specific quantities Detailed quarterly timeline with specific milestones and progression Good operational planning including staffing, systems, and technology infrastructure Basic risk mitigation mentioned (second greenhouse, generator, mutual-aid swaps) Defined staff roles though could better detail community partner responsibilities Would like to see more comprehensive risk mitigation strategies and detailed partner role definitions.		Clear budget narrative Realistic cost allocations High program supply investment (\$100k) justified for soil remediation and infrastructure Some mention of leveraging resources though could be more detailed about additional funding sources	8

F: Evaluation		G: Letters of	
Optional Rationale	Category Score	Optional Rationale	Category Score
	5		3 Points
	3		5 Points
Inclusive, community-driven approach with multilingual materials and trusted messengers Targeted outreach strategies for specific populations (seniors, youth, limited-English speakers) Strong partnership network with schools, healthcare providers, and city agencies Multiple engagement channels including social media and in-person events Good accessibility considerations mentioned throughout Could provide more specific details about trust-building strategies and long-term relationship development as well as culturally responsive strategies.		9 Robust evaluation methodology with clear accountability measures	
			5 Points