

- 6 retain 2-1-2 composition, as stated by law? BOM 6 yes
- 7 A separate membership from BPA, or a sub-set of BPA commissioners? 7 yps
- 8 Who will nominate and appoint new AAC commissssioners? 8 ??

Commission Streamlining task force is meeting this afternoon at 1:00pm in City Hall room 263.

Discussion

They are ready to recommend that the AAC be abolished, and that appeals be handled by a subcommittee of the Board of Permit Appeals.

AAC is prescribed under California State Law.

1 BPA is already overworked, and the intricacies of and community interesets in ccessibility will not be given adequate attention.

The reasons to have a separate AAC is to have

2 **expertise in architectural access built-in.** They have none.

We also have

3 **disability community participation built in.** They have none.

Most importantly, this may be

4 the only city commission that is **mandated to include people with disabilities who actually make decisions, not just 'advise.'** Please name another.

5 "Nothing about us without us."

CCSF is sidelining people with disabilities. (MOD recently abolished.)

They will argue that we have few cases. I really believe that's because the Building Department is (perhaps improperly) deciding Unreasonable Hardship cases administratively ontrary to code, even though they are required to be referred to AAC. But we won't have time to discuss those facts.

Walter Park
President, Access Appeals Commisssion

