

From: [REDACTED]
To: [commissionstreamlining](#)
Subject: Re: Preserve the Bicycle Advisory Committee in the Commission Streamlining effort
Date: Thursday, December 11, 2025 1:11:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force members,

I am writing as a bike commuter and pedestrian urging you to recommend keeping SF's Bicycle Advisory Committee as part of any streamlining effort. Apart from its obvious public safety and engagement value, the [SF BAC is a legal and funding prerequisite for claiming state transportation funds](#) under the Transportation Development Act Article 3 program. Removing or weakening the BAC risks making SF ineligible for or at least preventing funding via the TDA Article 3 allocations which fund bicycle projects, parking, safety programs, and related improvements.

Please recommend that any Streamlining proposal **retain the SF Bicycle Advisory Committee as an independent, standing advisory body** with the authority to:

- Review and issue resolutions supporting TDA Article 3 claims submitted on behalf of the City
- Prioritize and review bicycle/pedestrian project lists used for Article 3 applications
- Maintain open, regularly scheduled meetings that satisfy MTC's expectations for public input and technical review.

If the Task Force prefers consolidation of administrative support, that is reasonable; however, the BAC's appointed membership, meeting schedule, public-meeting practice, and explicit ability to issue resolutions for TDA Article 3 claims should be preserved in statute or ordinance so the City remains fully eligible and compliant with state/MTC funding requirements.

Here is a link to the [MTC Resolution 4108: TDA Article 3 \(Bicycle and Pedestrian\) Policies and Procedures](#), outlining the requirements for the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee.

Thank you for your efforts,

Jake Moffatt

Mission District