

Patrick Monette-Shaw

San Francisco, CA 94109

Phone: [REDACTED] • e-mail: [REDACTED]

December 10, 2025

Ed Harrington, Chair
Commission Streamlining Task Force
c/o City Administrator's Office
City Hall, Room 316
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

"The 'unitary executive' theory claims the Mayor may fire nearly anyone who leads a San Francisco City Department, or Board and Commission. San Franciscans reject that theory."

Re: Handing the Mayor Sole Authority Over Commissions — No Kings or Unitary Executives in San Francisco!

Dear Chair Harrington and Commission Streamlining Task Force,

The "unitary executive" theory claims the Mayor may fire nearly anyone who leads a San Francisco City Department, or Board and Commission. San Franciscans reject that theory.

A review of the Streamlining Task Force's now 30-page "[Decision Log](#)" containing initial decisions the Task Force has made between September 2025 and December 3, 2025 shows drastic changes you are recommending that far exceed what the voters who passed "Proposition E" had empowered this Streamlining Task Force to do.

Of the 83 bodies you have recommended so far be kept, your "Decision Log" reveals your collective, underlying "agenda" is to impose drastic restructuring of San Francisco's Boards and Commissions that the backers and proponents had initially proposed in "Proposition D" — that voters soundly rejected at the ballot box.

Your decisions to date show you are colluding to implement the agenda of SPUR, in order to hand nearly exclusive, and greatly expanded "unitary executive" powers to a "strong mayor" form of local government, which is not what "Prop. E" voters had impaneled you to do.

Among your adopted recommendations, Francisco's boards and commissions will change radically, including:

- 21 will be moved from the City Charter to the Administrative Code
- 25 will be kept in or added to the Administrative Code
- 14 will be kept as governance bodies
- At least 4 will be converted to being an advisory body only
- At least 7 will be stripped of authority to submit three-nominee short lists for department head selection; they'll be reduced to having "consultative roles only." [Note: Although SPUR asserted 21 boards and commissions submit candidate and nominee short lists, City Administrator staff have only mentioned 7 "short lists" in the staff recommendations, and in Task Force meeting minutes.] The actual motions made by Task Force members show they have been unconcerned about being crystal clear on what they were voting on. Their actual "motions" made have been very sloppy, and all over the map when it comes to *Roberts Rules of Order*.
- 21 will lose their authority to hire and fire department heads, and will be reduced to having "consultative roles only," but now only on an "optional" basis, suggesting they may not be allowed to participate in department head selection at all
- Only 11 will retain authority to hire and fire their respective department heads
- 20 are recommended for changing removal of commission member appointees from *for-cause only* to *at-will* removal
- At least 4 will have their budget approval and contract approval authority removed
- 12 will have a mandatory sunset date applied to them, threatening their very existence in the future
- 20 are having their member nominations and qualifications changed, and nearly all of them will become only "desirable" member qualifications, not "mandatory" qualifications; they will be applied as *body-level*, qualifications, not *seat-level* qualifications.

December 10, 2025

Handing the Mayor Sole Authority Over Commissions — No Kings or Unitary Executives in San Francisco!

Page 2

- At least 4 other bodies are having their member qualifications eliminated entirely, further opening up appointments to those bodies to political patronage appointments

San Franciscans Opposition to Date

This Streamlining Task Force's efforts to hand "*unitary executive*" powers to San Francisco's Mayor is operating in parallel with similar efforts by SPUR.

It's almost certain that San Francisco's voters will not endorse — and will probably reject — the nakedly partisan recommendations you have approved so far. The momentum of citizen opposition — 1,027 opponents, including 397 public speakers through November 9, and 629 written letters submitted, nearly all of which have been opposing decisions this Task Force has been making so far — suggests voters will reject whatever you place on the ballot in November 2026 to change our City Charter. The momentum of those 1,027 voices will only grow louder and stronger by November 2026, at your peril!

It's instructive that this Task Force's tenuous legitimacy and rank partisanship has nobody surprised, because the overwhelming evidence that has emerged so far shows your true colors. What is particularly galling about your decisions is that under historical precedents in San Francisco, you should be giving significant deference to San Franciscans, who you are all but ignoring, along with wishes of San Francisco voters.

That assessment may be far too kind. This Task Force knows exactly why — as do the rest of us — that the conservative bent of SPUR is driving this so-called "*streamlining*" — San Franciscan's wishes be damned. The law is no longer the law. The law — and our civic democracy — is being reduced to whatever is good for SPUR and Mayor Lurie.

No Unitary "Strong Mayor"! Re-empower our Boards and Commissions

What this Streamlining Task Force is recommending is frighteningly similar to the Supreme Court *Trump v. Slaughter* case heard on Monday, December 8. *Slaughter* involves a struggle over presidential power over hiring and firing of federal agency department heads, and removal of board members. The case is expected to strip Congress of most of its power to create "*independent*" federal agencies that have some freedom to act in ways that the president may not like

As Vox senior correspondent Ian Millhiser has [reported](#) "*The precise issue before the Court in Slaughter is whether President Donald Trump may fire Rebecca Slaughter, one of five commissioners on the Federal Trade Commission. By law, members of the FTC may only be removed for inefficiency, neglect of duty, or malfeasance in office. But Trump does not claim that Slaughter was neglectful or malfeasant. Instead, he believes her views are 'inconsistent with my Administration's priorities'.*"

The involves a something known as the "unitary executive," a legal theory that asserts a single line in the U.S. Constitution states, "*the executive power shall be vested in a President of the United States of America.*" President Trump and the Republican majority on the Supreme Court are trying to twist that single reference into meaning not just **some** of executive power, but **all** of the executive powers.

As Millhiser noted, "*One problem with this unitary executive theory is that it is far from clear which governmental powers should qualify as "executive."*

Millhiser observed: "*The Court, in other words, is fundamentally reworking the balance of power among the three branches based on vague constitutional provisions and dubious legal theories with little grounding in history.*"

The premise of the unitary executive is that the power to control federal agencies rests with the president and the president alone.

This Task Force is making the same erroneous argument that the power to control San Francisco agencies, City Departments and our boards and commissions rests with the mayor, and a unitary "*strong mayor*" alone.

December 10, 2025

Handing the Mayor Sole Authority Over Commissions — **No Kings or Unitary Executives in San Francisco!**

Page 3

San Franciscans want a semblance of a *balance of power* between our Boards and Commissions and the mayor. Re-empower our boards and commissions!

San Franciscans reject the “*unitary executive*” theory. We don’t want the Mayor to have authority to fire nearly anyone who leads a San Francisco City Department, or Board and Commission. San Franciscans reject that theory.

You should revisit your decisions to date, and reverse most of them. Otherwise, your efforts will have been in vain, when they fail to pass at the ballot box.

Sincerely,

/s/

Patrick Monette-Shaw

cc: Rachel Alonso, Project Director, City Administrator’s Office

Please Check Back
This List Will Be Updated Between
December 16 January 16

Board or Commission		Please Check Back This List Will Be Updated Between December 16 January 16																	
		Keep in Charter	Keep as Governance	Move From Charter to Administrative Code	Add to or Keep in Admin Code	Make or Convert to Advisory Body?	Transfer Decisions to Dept. Staff	Remove Nominating Authority or 3-Name Short List	Retain Dept. Head Hiring and Firing Authority	Remove Dept. Head Hiring & Firing Authority	Continue Serving At-Will	Change From For-Cause Removal to At-Will	Retain Budget Approval	Remove Budget & Contract Authority	Don't Apply a Sunset Date	Remove Member Seat Quals or Make "Desirable" Body-Level	Remove Qualifications		
Total:		21	14	21	25	4	1	7	11	24	1	20	1	4	21	12	3	20	4
33	Ethics Commission	Forthcoming ?																	
34	Family Violence Council																		
35	Film Commission																		
36	Fine Arts Museums Board of Trustees																		
37	Fire Commission																		
38	Free City College Oversight Committee																		
39	Health Commission																		
40	Health Service Board																		
41	Historic Preservation Commission																		
42	Homelessness Oversight Commission																		
43	Human Rights Commission																		
44	Human Services Commission																		
45	Immigrant Rights Commission																		
46	Inclusionary Housing Technical Advisory Committee																		
47	In-Home Supportive Services Public Authority																		
48	Interdepartmental Staff Committee on Traffic and Transportation (ISCOTT)																		
49	Joint Zoo Committee	NOT CODIFIED; KEPT AS A MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE ZOO AND THE CITY																	
50	Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council																		
51	Juvenile Probation Commission																		
52	Law Library Board of Trustees	REMOVE FROM CHARTER BUT KEEP BODY																	
53	LGBTQI+ Advisory Committee																		
54	Library Commission																		
55	Park, Recreation, & Open Space Advisory Cmt. (PROSAC)																		
56	Planning Commission																		
57	Police Commission																		
58	Port Commission																		
59	Public Utilities Citizen's Advisory Committee																		
60	Public Utilities Commission																		
61	Public Utilities Rate Fairness Board																		
62	Real Estate Fraud Prosecution Trust Fund Committee																		
63	Recreation and Park Commission																		
64	Refuse Rate Board																		
65	Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board (Rent Board)																		
66	Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board																		
67	Retirement Board																		
68	SFMTA Board of Directors and Parking Authority Commission																		
69	SFMTA Citizens' Advisory Council																		
70	Sheriff's Department Oversight Board																		

Note: Hiring/firing departments heads removed from Commissions; handed authority to the Mayor. "Consultative responsibilities" changed to "Optional."

