

From: [REDACTED]
To: [commissionstreamlining](#)
Subject: Comment regarding Item 6 on Nov 19
Date: Wednesday, November 19, 2025 3:52:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi there,

Apologies that my connection today was bad. I just wanted to note, as an interested citizen who's been attending these meetings and also Commission on the Environment meetings, that at the most recent Oct 27 Commission on the Environment meeting, that commission seemed unclear as to the rationale behind why your task force was recommending it move from governance to advisory. I shared with them via public comment during that meeting that I understood the rationale to be to give their commission more flexibility including to grow in size, as there seems to be a likelihood that the Urban Forestry Council may end up being consolidated into it. The commissioners seemed very concerned about the importance of climate/the environment to San Francisco, and I assured them that all task force members agreed with that importance and the move was not meant to signal anything different. They were also very concerned about the 3 year sunset, and I explained my understanding that this is a recommended, standardized default, with an expectation that the body would be renewed. I also told them my understanding that the staff working on commission streamlining are open to input.

The Commission on the Environment then passed a resolution recommending the task force keep it as a governance body anyway. Here is that text: <https://www.sfenvironment.org/media/15535>

I'm not sure if any of the above has been relayed to your task force directly, so I figured I might as well serve as a messenger, in case helpful.

Best,
Lila Holzman