Patrick Monette-Shaw San Francisco, CA 94109 Phone: • e-mail:

October 13, 2025

Ed Harrington, Chair Commission Streamlining Task Force c/o City Administrator's Office City Hall, Room 316 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Re: Dignity Fund: Oversight and Advisory Committee, and Providers Working Group

Dear Mr. Harrington and Commission Streamlining Task Force Members,

It's shocking that this Streamlining Task Force is again proposing to combine or **eliminate two voter-approved** City Charter bodies: The *Dignity Fund Oversight and Advisory Body*, and the *Dignity Fund Service Providers Working Group*.

The City Administrator's "Staff Discussion" claims that the "Evaluation Criteria" includes a criterion that specific funds should not have dedicated advisory bodies, and instead, budget decisions about these funds should be part of a department's overall budget processes so that the funds may be considered holistically and in alignment with a department's strategic goals.

But the "Evaluation Criteria" document dated September 12 this Streamlining Task Force apparently approved does <u>not</u> seem to contain any such "criterion," suggesting this may be yet another "rule" made up out of while cloth.

Lamely, the 134-page staff "Recommendations memo" states that the "Dignity Fund Service Providers Working Group" was only "discovered" to be in scope of "Prop. E" streamlining reform mandates after the Budget and Legislative Analyst completed its

Go ahead. Eliminate these two Dignity
Fund bodies at your own peril. That will
add fuel to the fire to entice voters into
rejecting any Charter Change ballot
measure you place on the November 2026
municipal ballot! It'll serve you and other
'streamliners' right."

Financial Analysis report dated September 1, 2025. So, as far is known the "*Dignity Fund Providers Working Group*" has **no** full-time staff "*hard costs*," and an unknown amount of "*soft costs*," if any.

On the other hand, the BLA cost analysis reported that the "Dignity Fund Oversight and Advisory Committee" had a total of \$43,362, all of which is part-time support staff "soft costs," except for a mere \$100 for non-personnel costs.

So, by combining or eliminating these two Charter-mandated bodies, the Streamlining Task Force at best would "save," just \$43,000, all of which is *soft costs* that won't save the City a dime, because those part-time staff won't be eliminated due to their other job duties.

The "Staff Discussion" states that "[the Advisory Council to the Disability and Aging Commission] can absorb <u>some</u> functions of the [Dignity Fund Oversight and Advisory Committee]." But the "Staff Discussion" does <u>not</u> mention what <u>other</u> functions <u>can't</u> be "absorbed," or what would happen to the <u>other</u> functions, or who would perform them!

The Dignity Fund Coalition (DFC) has urged you to keep the Dignity Fund Oversight and Advisory Council in its current role as an advisory body.

Go ahead. Eliminate these two Dignity Fund bodies at your own peril. That will add fuel to the fire to entice voters into rejecting any Charter Change ballot measure you place on the November 2026! It'll serve you and other "streamliners" right.

Sincerely,

/s/

Patrick Monette-Shaw

cc: Rachel Alonso, Project Director, City Administrator's Office