Agenda Item No.   4

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force
AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date: December 6, 2021
Date:

☒ ☐   Maps submitted by the public through December 3, 2021
☐ ☐
☐ ☐
☐ ☐
☐ ☐

Prepared by: John Carroll   **Date:** December 3, 2021
Prepared by: John Carroll   **Date:**
Prepared by: John Carroll   **Date:**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_12022021500_New SF Districts

Plan name: New SF Districts

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: N

District name	% Deviation	Comments
New District 5	11.47	Ensures the black community of interest of the western addition together

District name : New District 5

% Deviation : 11.47

Comments : Ensures the black community of interest of the western addition together

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_12022021501_TL in D1

Plan name: TL in D1

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: I made a mistake in Plan Name and and this should say Tenderloin in D 5 which the most significant part of this plan. Given the population growth in District 6, deciding how to shrink the district is the central challenge of redistricting. In this plan the Tenderloin would move into D 5 along with Western Addition/ Fillmore, Hayes Valley, Japantown, Alamo Square, and NOPA. D 5 would lose the Haight and Cole Valley, which would move into D8. D8's eastern edge would be Dolores street rather than Valencia and the portion of the Mission that used to be in D 8 would shift to D 9. Glen Park would shift out of D 8 into D 7 and Portola would shift from D 9 into D 10. Other than these changes, districts would be very similar to how they appear today.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D 7	2.06	
D 11	3.38	
D 6	-4.84	
D 5	-1.13	
D 1	-2.25	
D 3	-1.47	
D 9	0.18	
D 10	0.73	
D 2	-1.96	
D 4	2.43	
D 8	-1.96	

District name : D 3

% Deviation : -1.47

Comments :

District name : D 5

% Deviation : -1.13

Comments :

District name : D 9

% Deviation : 0.18

Comments :

District name : D 6

% Deviation : -4.84

Comments :

District name : D 10

% Deviation : 0.73

Comments :

District name : D 1

% Deviation : -2.25

Comments :

Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D 2

% Deviation : -1.96

Comments :

District name : D 4

% Deviation : 2.43

Comments :

District name : D 8

% Deviation : -1.96

Comments :

District name : D 7

% Deviation : 2.06

Comments :

District name : D 11

% Deviation : 3.38

Comments :

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_12032021512_ TL in D 3

Plan name: TL in D 3

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: This plan resolves the challenge of how to shrink D 6, where there has been a lot of population growth, by moving the Tenderloin into D 3. This means that more of Polk Gulch, Russian Hill, and Nob Hill have to move into D 2. Other notable changes are that Cole Valley and UCSF would move into D 8, creating a continuous area around Buena Vista Park and served by the N Judah. D 6 would shrink to focus on SoMA and Mission Bay. Most other districts would see limited changes.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D 1	0.24	
D 7	-1.6	
D 3	4.68	
D 5	-3.93	
D 6	-0.27	
D 9	0.28	
D 10	1.86	
D 2	2.18	
D 4	0.59	
D 8	-2.93	
D 11	-1.5	

District name : D 6

% Deviation : -0.27

Comments :

District name : D 9

% Deviation : 0.28

Comments :

District name : D 10

% Deviation : 1.86

Comments :

District name : D 3

% Deviation : 4.68

Comments :

District name : D 2

% Deviation : 2.18

Comments :

District name : D 1

% Deviation : 0.24

Comments :

District name : D 4

% Deviation : 0.59

Comments :

District name : D 8

% Deviation : -2.93

Comments :

District name : D 5

% Deviation : -3.93

Comments :

District name : D 7

% Deviation : -1.6

Comments :

District name : D 11

% Deviation : -1.5

Comments :

Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

David Pilpel
2151 27th Ave
San Francisco CA 94116-1730

Chair Rev. Arnold Townsend and Members
Redistricting Task Force
1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl Ste 244
San Francisco CA 94102-4689

November 29, 2021

Re: My San Francisco Redistricting Map for 2022 (DP1), First Draft

Dear Chair Rev. Townsend and Members,

I am excited to share my first draft of a San Francisco Redistricting Map for 2022 (DP1). To determine the ideal population for each district (DP Ideal), I considered the census population change from 2010 to 2020, registration, and turnout (using voter data from November 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020 elections). Starting with the existing districts, I adjusted boundaries to improve compactness, keep communities and neighborhoods together, include (or split) institutions and parks where appropriate, and use freeways, large streets, and other natural borders as much as possible.

The general boundaries are (clockwise from the county line, northwest corner, or water's edge):

DP1 District 1: 33rd, California, 26th, Lake, 21st, California, Presidio, Bush, Divisadero, Sutter, Presidio, Post, Divisadero, Fulton, Cole, Grove, Shrader, Hayes, Stanyan, JFK, Kezar, MLK, Lincoln

DP1 District 2: Leavenworth, Jefferson, Jones, Broadway, Van Ness, Bush, Presidio, California, 21st, Lake, 26th, California, 33rd

DP1 District 3: Bryant, Beale, Harrison, 3rd, Folsom, 4th, Stockton, Geary, Van Ness, Broadway, Jones, Jefferson, Leavenworth

DP1 District 4: Lincoln, MLK, 9th, Irving, 17th, Kirkham, 19th, Eucalyptus, Middlefield, Lake Merced

DP1 District 5: Bush, Van Ness, S Van Ness, 14th, Valencia, Duboce, Castro, 14th, Roosevelt, 17th, Stanyan, Carl, Arguello, Irving, 9th, MLK, Kezar, JFK, Stanyan, Hayes, Shrader, Grove, Cole, Fulton, Divisadero, Post, Presidio, Sutter, Divisadero

DP1 District 6: TI, YBI, 16th, Terry Francois, Mariposa, Pennsylvania, 17th, Mississippi, 7th, King, Division, 8th, Mission, 9th, Market, Van Ness, Geary, Stockton, 4th, Folsom, 3rd, Harrison, Beale, Bryant

DP1 District 7: Kirkham, 8th, Lawton, 7th, Laguna Honda, Portola, O'Shaughnessy, Malta, Stillings, Martha, Baden, I-280, Alemany, Brotherhood, Lake Merced, Middlefield, Eucalyptus, 19th

DP1 District 8: Irving, Arguello, Carl, Stanyan, 17th, Roosevelt, 14th, Castro, Duboce, Valencia, 15th, Dolores, Day, San Jose, I-280, Baden, Martha, Stillings, Malta, O'Shaughnessy, Portola, Laguna Honda, 7th, Lawton, 8th, Kirkham, 17th

DP1 District 9: Market, 9th, Mission, 8th, Division, Potrero, Mariposa, Hampshire, 25th, Potrero, Cesar Chavez, Bayshore, Industrial, US-101, I-280, Baden, San Jose, Day, Dolores, 15th, Valencia, 14th, S Van Ness

DP1 District 10: Geneva, La Grande, Mansell, Visitacion, Raymond, Delta, Teddy, San Bruno, Paul, Bayshore, Cesar Chavez, Potrero, 25th, Hampshire, Mariposa, Potrero, Division, King, 7th, Mississippi, 17th, Pennsylvania, Mariposa, Terry Francois, 16th

DP1 District 11: Lake Merced, Brotherhood, Alemany, I-280, US-101, Industrial, Bayshore, Paul, San Bruno, Teddy, Delta, Raymond, Visitacion, Mansell, La Grande, Geneva

To summarize (Change is DP1 from 2020 Census and % Deviation is DP1 from Average):

District	2010 Population	2020 Census	DP Ideal	DP1	Change	% Deviation
1	69,703	72,848	81,120	81,121	8,273	1.98%
2	69,544	76,363	77,418	77,466	1,103	-2.61%
3	70,394	72,474	82,845	82,765	10,291	4.05%
4	72,498	72,784	81,171	81,109	8,325	1.97%
5	74,600	80,667	75,847	75,892	-4,775	-4.59%
6	73,909	103,564	79,783	79,821	-23,743	0.35%
7	72,737	75,436	78,685	78,709	3,273	-1.05%
8	75,746	82,418	75,568	75,622	-6,796	-4.93%
9	76,720	75,829	80,281	80,197	4,368	0.82%
10	72,566	86,323	79,857	79,861	-6,462	0.40%
11	76,818	76,287	82,418	82,430	6,143	3.63%
Total	**805,235**	**874,993**	**874,993**	**874,993**	**0**	**0.00%**
Average	**73,203**	**79,545**	**79,545**	**79,545**		

Thank you, as always, for considering my thoughts on this matter. I can briefly describe this proposal at a future Task Force meeting if you would like. I am certain that your final plan will be different, but I do hope that the elements here are useful and spark some civic (and civil) debate and interest. I am also pretty sure that no one will like this proposal as it is and I understand that. While maintaining and strengthening each district were primary concerns, I also tried to move each district slightly toward the middle politically, hoping for more competitive election contests and good, strong elected representatives from each district in the next ten years. Thanks again for your consideration.

Sincerely,

/s/
David Pilpel

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_11292021463_DP1

Plan name: DP1

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: See letter dated November 29, 2021. Thanks. DP

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: N

District name	% Deviation	Comments
DP1 District 6	0.35	
DP1 District 9	0.82	
DP1 District 11	3.63	
DP1 District 3	4.05	
DP1 District 10	0.4	
DP1 District 4	1.97	
DP1 District 2	-2.61	
DP1 District 1	1.98	
DP1 District 7	-1.05	
DP1 District 8	-4.93	
DP1 District 5	-4.59	

District name : DP1 District 6

% Deviation : 0.35

Comments :

District name : DP1 District 9

% Deviation : 0.82

Comments :

District name : DP1 District 3

% Deviation : 4.05

Comments :

District name : DP1 District 10

% Deviation : 0.4

Comments :

Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 --- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : DP1 District 4

% Deviation : 1.97

Comments :

District name : DP1 District 2

% Deviation : -2.61

Comments :

District name : DP1 District 1

% Deviation : 1.98

Comments :

Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map_data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : DP1 District 7

% Deviation : -1.05

Comments :

Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : DP1 District 8

% Deviation : -4.93

Comments :

District name : DP1 District 5

% Deviation : -4.59

Comments :

District name : DP1 District 11

% Deviation : 3.63

Comments :