



STATE LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

Wednesday, July 30, 2025

10:00am – 12:00pm

City Hall, Room 201

OVERVIEW

This meeting will be held in person at the location listed above. Members of the public may attend the meeting to observe and provide public comment at the physical meeting location listed above. Members of the public may view the meeting by reviewing the details below, with the video link, phone number, and other related information provided:

- **Meeting ID:** 2661 634 0008
- **Meeting Password:** qWpMyZCJ665
- **Join by Phone at:** +1-415-655-0001 (Please dial “#” after entering the Meeting ID to view the meeting)
- **Link:**
<https://sfpublic.webex.com/sfpublic/j.php?MTID=md7b3563abbfba150644b03d46f7924bf>
- **Public Comment:** Please review instructions on page 5.

MEMBERS

Mayor’s Office (Chair) -- Eileen Mariano

Supervisor Connie Chan’s Office -- Frances Hsieh

Board President Rafael Mandelman’s Office – Brad Phelps

Assessor’s Office -- Holly Lung

City Attorney’s Office -- Rebekah Krell

Controller’s Office – Marie Warchol

Treasurer’s Office – Amanda Fried

AGENDA

- I. **ROLL CALL**
- II. **APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES (Action Item).** Discussion and possible action to approve the minutes from the meeting on June 25, 2025.
- III. **STATE LOBBYIST OVERVIEW AND UPDATE (Discussion Item).** The City’s state lobbyist will present to the Committee an update on State legislative matters.

- IV. PROPOSED LEGISLATION (Discussion and Action).** Discussion and possible action item: the Committee will review and discuss state legislation affecting the City and County of San Francisco. Items are listed by Department, then by bill number.

New Business

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

Presenter: Scott Ammon

SB 283 (Laird): Energy Storage Systems.

Recommended Position: Support

The bill would conform the California Building Code (CBC) and safety certification requirements to the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 855 standards for battery storage. Specifically, the bill would require projects submitted for certification to the California Energy Commission (CEC) to demonstrate that they are constructed consistent with the CBC or NFPA 855, whichever is most protective. SB 283 would require projects submitted to local authorities to be designed in accordance with NFPA 855. The bill would also require projects submitted to the CEC or local authorities to be inspected by local fire officials prior to commencing operations.

The SFPUC recommends a support position for SB 283.

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Presenter: Monique Webster

SB 63 (Wiener): San Francisco Bay Area Local revenue measure: transportation funding

Recommended Position: Support

SB 63 would establish a Transportation Revenue Measure District including Alameda, Contra Costa and San Francisco counties, with a provision for San Mateo and Santa Clara counties to opt in, to be administered by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC). The bill would authorize a multi-county regional transportation funding measure in the form of a sales tax, for a duration of 10 to 15 years subject to voter approval on the November 2026 ballot, to fund transit operations in the Bay Area.

San Francisco Human Services Agency

Presenter: Rose Johns

SB 694 (Archuleta): Deceptive Practices: Service Members and Veterans

Recommended Position: Support

Senate Bill 694 seeks to strengthen protections for veterans by prohibiting any person not accredited by the Veterans Administration (VA) from charging a veteran

for help with a benefits claim, prohibiting the charging of fees that exceed what a VA-accredited attorney or claims agent could legally charge to assist a veteran with a benefits claim, and prohibiting the requiring of access to veterans' data on VA systems.

As the home of the accredited San Francisco County Veterans Service Office, the Department of Disability and Aging Services (DAS) supports this bill to help ensure veterans utilize trusted and accountable claims assistance.

- V. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT.** Members of the public may address the Committee on items of interest that are within the Committee's subject matter jurisdiction and that do not appear on the agenda.

VI. ADJOURNMENT

Disability Access

Room 201 of City Hall is located at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place and is wheelchair accessible. The closest accessible BART Station is Civic Center, three blocks from City Hall. Accessible Muni lines serving this location are: #47 Van Ness, and the #71 Haight/Noriega and the F Line to Market and Van Ness, as well as Muni Metro stations at Van Ness and Civic Center. For more information about Muni accessible services, call 923-6142. There is accessible parking at the Civic Center Plaza garage.

The State Legislation Committee does not permit remote public comment by members of the public its meetings, except as legally required to enable people with disabilities to participate in such meetings. If you require remote access as a means of reasonable accommodation under ADA, please contact the State Legislation Committee to request remote access, including a description of the functional limitation(s) that precludes your ability to attend in person. Requests made at least two business days in advance of the meeting will help to ensure availability. For further assistance, please contact Joshua Cardenas, Mayor's Office, at: joshua.cardenas@sfgov.org.

Know Your Rights Under the Sunshine Ordinance

The government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Donna Hall at Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, by phone at 415-554-7724, by fax at 415-554-7854, or email the Sunshine Ordinance Taskforce Administrator at softf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Task Force, or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at www.sfgov.org/sunshine.htm.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100 –2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone 415-581-2300, fax 415-581-2317, Internet website: www.sfgov.org/ethics.

Cell Phones and Pagers

The ringing and use of cell phones, pagers, and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order

the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Public Comment

Public Comment will be taken in-person on each item on the agenda before or during consideration of that item.

- To view the meeting via computer systems:
<https://sfpublic.webex.com/sfpublic/j.php?MTID=m6681835cd6480991d3922332297f1336>
NOTE: Depending on your broadband/WIFI connection, there may be a 30-second to 2-minute delay when viewing the meeting live.
- To view the meeting via phone:
 - **Join by Phone at:** +1-415-655-0001
 - **Webinar ID:** 2669 222 6780
 - **NOTE:** Once you join the meeting via the number above, enter the webinar ID and then press # to enter the meeting.

Information Regarding Providing Public Comment

Each individual may comment 1 time per agenda item.

Each individual may speak for up to 2 minutes; after which time the line is automatically silenced.

Documents that may have been provided to members of the State Legislation Committee in connection with the items on the agenda include proposed state legislation, consultant reports, correspondence and reports from City departments, and public correspondence. These may be inspected by contacting Eileen Mariano, Manager, State and Federal Affairs, Mayor's Office at: eileen.f.mariano@sfgov.org.

Health Considerations

In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.



STATE LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

Wednesday, June 25, 2025

10:00am – 12:00pm

City Hall, Room 201

OVERVIEW

This meeting will be held in person at the location listed above. Members of the public may attend the meeting to observe and provide public comment at the physical meeting location listed above. Members of the public may view the meeting by reviewing the details below, with the video link, phone number, and other related information provided:

- **Meeting ID:** 2669 222 6780
- **Meeting Password:** Sv2pwKuHK24
- **Join by Phone at:** +1-415-655-0001 (Please dial “#” after entering the Meeting ID to view the meeting)
- **Link:**
<https://sfpublic.webex.com/sfpublic/j.php?MTID=m6681835cd6480991d3922332297f1336>
- **Public Comment:** Please review instructions on page 7.

MEMBERS

Mayor’s Office (Chair) -- Eileen Mariano
Supervisor Connie Chan’s Office – Robyn Burke
Board President Rafael Mandelman’s Office – Calvin Ho
Assessor’s Office -- Holly Lung
City Attorney’s Office -- Rebekah Krell
Controller’s Office -- Hannah Kohanzadeh
Treasurer’s Office -- Eric Manke

AGENDA

Meeting commenced at 10:02am.

VII. ROLL CALL

Present: Eileen Mariano, Robyn Burke, Calvin Ho, Rebekah Krell, Hannah Kohanzadeh, and Eric Manke. Assessor’s Office was not present.

VIII. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES (Action Item). Discussion and possible

action to approve the minutes from the meeting on May 28, 2025.

Motion to Approve: Eric Manke
Seconded by: Hannah Kohanzadeh
Approved: 6-0

- IX. STATE LOBBYIST OVERVIEW AND UPDATE (Discussion Item).** The City's state lobbyist will present to the Committee an update on State legislative matters.
- X. PROPOSED LEGISLATION (Discussion and Action).** Discussion and possible action item: the Committee will review and discuss state legislation affecting the City and County of San Francisco. Items are listed by Department, then by bill number.

New Business

San Francisco Ethics Commission

Presenter: Michael Canning

AB 775 (Fong): Behested payments: reporting.

Recommended Position: Support

This bill would require the State's behested payment disclosure reports to be filed electronically with the Fair Political Practices Commission (FPPC), unless the appropriate local government agency is willing to accept the forms and post them online. The bill also modifies the deadlines for elected officials reporting behested payments.

Ethics Commission staff recommend the City support this legislation as it will improve the processes associated with the filing of a required State form and demonstrate a flexible model for the filing of State forms with local governments that may be beneficial for other filings in the future.

Motion to Approve: Robyn Burke
Seconded by: Holly Lung
Approved: 7-0

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

Presenter: Kellie McManamon

SB 72 (Caballero): California Water Plan: long-term supply targets.

Recommended Position: Support

SB 72 revises the California Water Plan to expand upon and plan for long-term water supply targets to overcome a drought. Future plans must include various scenarios for water supply targets with a 50-year planning horizon. For the 2028 plan, the bill solidifies a planning target of 9,000,000 acre-feet of additional water or

storage capacity.

The bill also requires the Department of Water Resources (Department) to identify California's water needs across regions and impacts associated with proposed projects. In order to evaluate best practices for preservation of natural resources and stewardship of the land, the Department will confer with an advisory committee. The committee will be comprised of a wide range of stakeholders including representation from unions, tribes, local governments, water agencies, and expert researchers on the environment, water, and climate science.

The SFPUC recommends a support position for SB 72.

Motion to Approve: Calvin Ho
Seconded by: Hannah Kohanzadeh
Approved: 7-0

San Francisco Adult Probation Department

Presenter: Alek Hartwick

AB 247 (Bryan): Incarcerated individual hand crew members: wages

Recommended Position: Support

AB 247 requires incarcerated hand crew members and a ward or youth placed at the Pine Grove Youth Conservation Camp to be paid an hourly wage of \$7.25 and to have the wage rate updated on an annual basis.

Motion to Approve: Eric Manke
Seconded by: Hannah Kohanzadeh
Approved: 7-0

San Francisco Adult Probation Department

Presenter: Alek Hartwick

AB 248 (Bryan): Uncapped Earnings for Incarcerated Workers Act

Recommended Position: Support

Authorizes the County Board of Supervisors to determine a wage to be credited to each prisoner if the prisoner is confined in or committed to a county jail and performs a work assignment.

Motion to Approve: Eric Manke
Seconded by: Calvin Ho
Approved: 7-0

San Francisco Adult Probation Department

Presenter: Alek Hartwick

AB 1140 (Connolly): Single-Occupancy Cell Pilot Program.

Recommended Position: Support

AB 1140 will implement a pilot program in four adult facilities, requiring that 10% or more of the incarcerated population in those facilities be housed in single-occupancy cells.

Motion to Approve: Eric Manke
Seconded by: Hannah Kohanzadeh
Approved: 7-0

San Francisco Department of Early Childhood

Presenter: Arianna Cruz-Sellu

AB 753 (Garcia): Childcare: facility licensure: teacher requirements.

Recommended Position: Support

California continues to experience ongoing early childhood educator staffing shortages. These shortages impact families in accessing care and the stability it provides. Providers struggle to keep classrooms fully staffed. AB 753 addresses staffing shortages while providing a workforce pathway which eliminates barriers for early in their career as educators.

Motion to Approve: Robyn Burke
Seconded by: Hannah Kohanzadeh
Approved: 7-0

San Francisco Environment Department

Presenter: Joseph Piesecki and Alice Hur

SB 615 (Allen): Vehicle traction batteries.

Recommended Position: Support

SB 615 would create a framework to ensure all electric vehicle (EV) batteries are eventually recycled at their end of life and encourages sustainable practices of repair and repurposing. San Francisco is committed to achieving net-zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, as outlined in our Climate Action Plan, which prioritizes electrifying transportation and building a regenerative circular economy that minimizes waste production. As more residents and fleets transition to EVs, we must ensure that the growing volume of EV batteries is handled in a way that protects public health, conserves resources, and reduces environmental harm. Research published by the Department of Energy shows the potential of recycled EV batteries, which could continue to be used for energy storage applications and work for 10 years or more after end of life, barring failure or damages.

Motion to Approve: Calvin Ho
Seconded by: Hannah Kohanzadeh
Approved: 7-0

XI. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT. Members of the public may address the

Committee on items of interest that are within the Committee's subject matter jurisdiction and that do not appear on the agenda.

XII. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 10:43am.

Disability Access

Room 201 of City Hall is located at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place and is wheelchair accessible. The closest accessible BART Station is Civic Center, three blocks from City Hall. Accessible Muni lines serving this location are: #47 Van Ness, and the #71 Haight/Noriega and the F Line to Market and Van Ness, as well as Muni Metro stations at Van Ness and Civic Center. For more information about Muni accessible services, call 923-6142. There is accessible parking at the Civic Center Plaza garage.

The State Legislation Committee does not permit remote public comment by members of the public its meetings, except as legally required to enable people with disabilities to participate in such meetings. If you require remote access as a means of reasonable accommodation under ADA, please contact the State Legislation Committee to request remote access, including a description of the functional limitation(s) that precludes your ability to attend in person. Requests made at least two business days in advance of the meeting will help to ensure availability. For further assistance, please contact Joshua Cardenas, Mayor's Office, at: joshua.cardenas@sfgov.org.

Know Your Rights Under the Sunshine Ordinance

The government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Donna Hall at Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, by phone at 415-554-7724, by fax at 415-554-7854, or email the Sunshine Ordinance Taskforce Administrator at softf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Task Force, or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at www.sfgov.org/sunshine.htm.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100 –2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone 415-581-2300, fax 415-581-2317, Internet website: www.sfgov.org/ethics.

Cell Phones and Pagers

The ringing and use of cell phones, pagers, and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order

the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Public Comment

Public Comment will be taken in-person on each item on the agenda before or during consideration of that item.

- To view the meeting via computer systems:
<https://sfpublic.webex.com/sfpublic/j.php?MTID=m6681835cd6480991d3922332297f1336>
NOTE: Depending on your broadband/WIFI connection, there may be a 30-second to 2-minute delay when viewing the meeting live.
- To view the meeting via phone:
 - **Join by Phone at:** +1-415-655-0001
 - **Webinar ID:** 2669 222 6780
 - **NOTE:** Once you join the meeting via the number above, enter the webinar ID and then press # to enter the meeting.

Information Regarding Providing Public Comment

Each individual may comment 1 time per agenda item.

Each individual may speak for up to 2 minutes; after which time the line is automatically silenced.

Documents that may have been provided to members of the State Legislation Committee in connection with the items on the agenda include proposed state legislation, consultant reports, correspondence and reports from City departments, and public correspondence. These may be inspected by contacting Eileen Mariano, Manager, State and Federal Affairs, Mayor's Office at: eileen.f.mariano@sfgov.org.

Health Considerations

In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.



State Legislation Committee Proposal Form

This form should be used to submit legislative proposals for consideration by the State Legislation Committee. We ask that you keep your submissions under two pages. Before submission, proposals must be reviewed and approved by the Department Head or

Commission. Please send completed forms to Eileen Mariano at Eileen.f.mariano@sfgov.org, Aly Bonde at aly.bonde@sfgov.org, and Joshua Cardenas at Joshua.Cardenas@sfgov.org.

| | |
|---|--|
| Date Submitted | 7/14/2025 |
| Submitting Department | San Francisco Public Utilities Commission |
| Contact Name | Scott Ammon |
| Contact Email and Phone Number | sammon@sfgov.org ; 415-407-5208 |
| SLC Meeting Presenter | Scott Ammon |
| Reviewed and approved by Department Head? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO |
| Reviewed and approved by Commission? | <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A |

SB 283

Senator John Laird, Senate District #17, D-Santa Cruz

Energy storage systems

Position

☐ SPONSOR ☒ SUPPORT ☐ SUPPORT if amended ☐ OPPOSE ☐ OTHER & Describe

Summary

The bill would conform the California Building Code (CBC) and safety certification requirements to the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 855 standards for battery storage. Specifically, the bill would require projects submitted for certification to the California Energy Commission (CEC) to demonstrate that they are constructed consistent with the CBC or NFPA 855, whichever is most protective. SB 283 would require projects submitted to local authorities to be designed in accordance with NFPA 855. The bill would also require projects submitted to the CEC or local authorities to be inspected by local fire officials prior to commencing operations.

The SFPUC recommends a support position for SB 283.

Background/Analysis

In 2022, the Legislature passed and the Governor signed AB 205 which allows BESS projects capable of storing 200 MWh or more to participate in the CEC's Opt-In Certification Program. The program provides an alternative to local jurisdiction permitting to help streamline approval of eligible projects. The Governor and Legislature expanded program eligibility to BESS projects to help accelerate California's transition to renewable energy while maintaining electric system reliability. According to the State's SB 100 Joint Agency Report,¹ California will need to triple existing battery storage capacity to 52,000 MW by 2045 to successfully meet growing energy demand and the State's 2045 carbon neutrality target.

The State is currently implementing legislation to ensure the safety of BESS projects. On March 13, 2025, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) approved a resolution to implement requirements pursuant to SB 1383 (2022) and SB 38 (2023) to improve the safety and reliability of energy storage facilities.

Challenge

The bill builds on existing state law to help ensure the safety of new BESS projects. As California transitions towards a clean energy economy, BESS projects are increasingly critical to ensuring the state can meet its clean energy goals. BESS projects are

¹ 2021 SB 100 Joint Agency Report, Achieving 100 Percent Clean Electricity in California: An Initial Assessment.

<https://www.energy.ca.gov/publications/2021/2021-sb-100-joint-agency-report-achieving-100-percent-clean-electricity>

integral to ensuring system reliability by storing excess power generated from renewables and providing this power during periods of high demand and when renewables such as solar and wind are not generating. Therefore, ensuring the safety of these projects is paramount as California increases the development of new systems. SB 283 improves BESS safety by conforming state requirements to national safety standards.

Solution/Recommended Proposal

The SFPUC recommends a support position for SB 283.

Departments Impacted & Why

As a clean energy provider and proponent of BESS projects statewide, the SFPUC understands the importance of ensuring the safety of new BESS projects. SB 283 improves the safety of new BESS facilities without impeding the development of energy storage resources which CleanPowerSF will increasingly rely on to provide our ratepayers with clean power and to support California's clean energy transition.

Fiscal Impact

There are no anticipated fiscal impacts to the SFPUC or other City departments.

Support / Opposition

Support

California Professional Firefighters
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)
California State Association of Electrical Workers
Coalition of California Utility Employees
County of Monterey
County of Santa Cruz
Democrats of Rossmore
League of California Cities
Monterey; County of
Orange County Fire Authority
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC)
San Diego Gas and Electric Company
San Diego Regional Chamber of Commerce
San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors
Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors
Southern California Edison
Tri County Chamber Alliance

Support If Amended

California Community Choice Association
Fluence Energy, INC.

Oppose

None on file



State Legislation Committee Proposal Form

This form should be used to submit legislative proposals for consideration by the State Legislation Committee. We ask that you keep your submissions under two pages. Before submission, proposals must be reviewed and approved by the Department Head or Commission. Please send completed forms to Eileen Mariano at Eileen.f.mariano@sfgov.org, Aly Bonde at aly.bonde@sfgov.org, and Joshua Cardenas at Joshua.Cardenas@sfgov.org.

| | |
|---|--|
| Date Submitted | 7/18/2025 |
| Submitting Department | Municipal Transportation Agency |
| Contact Name | Monique Webster |
| Contact Email and Phone Number | Monique.webster@sfmta.com |
| SLC Meeting Presenter | Monique Webster |
| Reviewed and approved by Department Head? | X YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO |
| Reviewed and approved by Commission? | <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO X N/A |

SB 63

Senator Scott Wiener, Senate District #11, D-San Francisco

San Francisco Bay area: local revenue measure: transportation funding.

Recommended Position

☐ SPONSOR ☒ SUPPORT ☐ SUPPORT if amended ☐ OPPOSE ☐ OTHER & Describe

Summary

SB 63 would establish a Transportation Revenue Measure District including Alameda, Contra Costa and San Francisco counties, with a provision for San Mateo and Santa Clara counties to opt in, to be administered by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC). The bill would authorize a multi-county regional transportation funding measure in the form of a sales tax, for a duration of 10 to 15 years subject to voter approval on the November 2026 ballot, to fund transit operations in the Bay Area.

Background/Analysis

Public transportation is an essential service. Every day, transit systems like Muni, BART, Caltrain, and AC Transit help Bay Area residents and visitors travel throughout the region. The erosion of fare revenues due to shifting post-pandemic travel patterns and the reduction in other funding sources have made this funding model untenable, despite cost-saving measures that transit agencies have taken to be more financially efficient and improve service.

Federal Covid relief funds and one-time state funding have helped to bridge the gap and avert large-scale cuts to transit service; however, these funds will be expended in the current fiscal year, and the “fiscal cliff” will be upon the region starting next fiscal year. Without new funding, Bay Area transit systems will be forced to make devastating service cuts that would push public transit into a death spiral. The loss of transit service would disproportionately impact lower income people of color, greatly increase traffic congestion and pollution, and undermine San Francisco’s and the region’s economic recovery.

Challenge

BART and Caltrain were highly fare-reliant pre-pandemic. Each had farebox recovery ratios in the 70 percent range – meaning they covered 70% of their expenses with fare revenue. Muni was highly reliant on General Fund, parking, and fare revenues. Due to remote work trends and inflation-induced operating cost increases, revenues for all these transit systems have drastically reduced and have been slow to recover.

While agencies are working hard to increase ridership, the revenue recovery has been insufficient and additional subsidy is needed in order to maintain service. Beginning in July 2026, the SFMTA faces a projected \$322 million deficit when temporary state and federal pandemic relief funding expires. The combined operating deficits of SFMTA, BART, Caltrain, and AC Transit

are projected to amount to over \$800 million. For the SFMTA, revenue from key sources—taxes, parking, and fares—remains below pre-pandemic levels due to shifting commute patterns and the slow recovery of downtown San Francisco. Meanwhile, operating costs continue to rise, driven by inflation and necessary wage adjustments.

Solution/Recommended Proposal

In September 2024 the Controller’s office convened the Muni Funding Working Group (MFWG) to identify strategies to address SFMTA’s budget deficit. On July 15, 2025, the Controller’s Office released the final report of the MFWG, which indicated broad support both a regional ballot measure and a local ballot measure to generate new revenues, along with other strategies.

SB 63 would establish a Transportation Revenue Measure District including Alameda, Contra Costa and San Francisco counties, with a provision for San Mateo and Santa Clara counties to opt in, to be administered by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC). The bill would authorize a multi-county regional transportation funding measure in the form of a sales tax, for a duration of 10 to 15 years subject to voter approval on the November 2026 ballot, to fund transit operations in the Bay Area.

Departments Impacted & Why

SFMTA

Fiscal Impact

SB 63 would authorize a sales tax rate of 0.5 percent in all participating counties except San Francisco, in which the rate may range from 0.5 to 1.0 percent, subject to voter approval. In San Francisco County, a sales tax of 1.0 percent would generate around \$235 million per year.

Support / Opposition

Support

Bay Area Council City of Alameda City of El Cerrito City of Pinole

Climate Action California

Metropolitan Transportation Commission Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District

San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority San Francisco County Transportation Authority

San Francisco Mayor Daniel Lurie SPUR

Opposition

California Association of REALTORS California Building Industry Association California Business Properties Association

California Taxpayers Association Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association

| | |
|--|---|
| Date Submitted | July 24, 2025 |
| Submitting Department | DAS |
| Contact Name | Rose Johns |
| Contact Email and Phone Number | Rose.Johns@sfgov.org |
| SLC Meeting Presenter | Vellore Adithi |
| Reviewed and approved by Department Head? | X YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO |
| Reviewed and approved by Commission? | <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO X N/A |

SB 694

Senator Bob Archuleta, Senate District #30, D-Los Angeles/Orange County

Deceptive Practices: Service Members and Veterans

Recommended Position

☐ **SPONSOR** **X SUPPORT** ☐ **SUPPORT if amended** ☐ **OPPOSE** ☐ **OTHER & Describe**

Summary

Senate Bill 694 seeks to strengthen protections for veterans by prohibiting any person not accredited by the Veterans Administration (VA) from charging a veteran for help with a benefits claim, prohibiting the charging of fees that exceed what a VA-accredited attorney or claims agent could legally charge to assist a veteran with a benefits claim, and prohibiting the requiring of access to veterans' data on VA systems.

As the home of the accredited San Francisco County Veterans Service Office, the Department of Disability and Aging Services (DAS) supports this bill to help ensure veterans utilize trusted and accountable claims assistance.

Background/Analysis

Federal law generally prohibits anyone from assisting with veterans' benefit claims unless recognized by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, with accredited groups like the VFW, American Legion, and Red Cross subject to fee limits. California's Consumer Legal Remedies Act (CLRA) bans unreasonable fees for helping applicants obtain public services, including veterans' benefits. However, a 2006 federal change removed penalties for providing VA claims assistance without accreditation, leading to a rise in unaccredited agents offering such services. This bill amends the CLRA to explicitly ban individuals from charging more than accredited agents and from soliciting, contracting, or charging for veterans' claims services in violation of federal law.

Challenge

In 2006, Congress removed penalties for offering VA claims assistance without accreditation despite federal law generally prohibiting fees for application assistance for public benefits. While accredited representatives remain regulated, this change enabled unaccredited agents to operate without oversight, leading to the growth of an unregulated industry.

Unaccredited agents operate without training requirements, oversight, or service standards. In the context of limited enforcement and a complex VA claims process, they may charge unlawful fees, tie contracts to future benefits, and submit claims that accredited representatives are not permitted to file. Some charge 30% or more of a veteran's retroactive pay for processing basic or supplemental claims—services that VA-accredited county veterans service offices and nonprofit organizations provide at no cost. Veterans working with unaccredited agents may experience delays in claims processing, restrictive contracts, or limited recourse for resolving disputes, raising concerns among veterans' organizations.

By contrast, the state and counties have invested in County Veterans Service Officers who offer accredited, no-cost claims assistance. These officers are trained, certified, and held to strict ethical standards. This includes the San Francisco County Veterans Service Office within DAS, which supports 2,900 veterans and their dependents annually. SB 694 helps maximize this public resource by ensuring veterans have access to trusted, lawful services and are not diverted to unregulated providers.

Solution/Recommended Proposal

SB 694 would help protect San Francisco veterans by ensuring they receive competent, fair representation for their VA benefits claims within a regulated and accountable system and safeguarding them from losing a significant portion of their benefits to unregulated providers. This bill:

1. Prohibits anyone from soliciting, charging, or receiving fees for VA benefit claims services unless allowed under federal law (38 U.S.C. §§ 1984, 5904).
2. Expands the CLRA to cover all veterans benefits and defines fees exceeding those allowed for accredited VA agents or attorneys as unreasonable.
3. Prohibits a person from requiring service members to share government login credentials, using someone else's credentials, or requiring a veteran's CAC PIN in transactions or service agreements.

Departments Impacted & Why

Department of Disability and Aging Services (County Veterans Service Office)

Fiscal Impact

No local fiscal impact is anticipated. While the DAS County Veterans Service Office may see increased requests for claims assistance, existing staff should be able to manage the workload.

Support / Opposition

Support

California Association of County Veterans Service Officers (co-sponsor)

American Legion Department of California (co-sponsor)

AMVETS - Department of California

1 Method

California Enlisted Association of the National Guard of the United States (CAL-EANGUS)

California State Commanders Veterans Council

County of Kern

Disabled American Veterans, Department of California

Military Officers Association of America, California Council of Chapters National Veterans Foundation

Nevada County

Nevada County Veterans Service Officer

Paralyzed Veterans of America

Peace Officers Research Association of California

Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC)

Scottish-American Military Society

Vetfund Foundation

Vietnam Veterans of America, California State Council

Opposition

Black Veterans Empowerment Council (BVEC)

National Association for Veteran's Rights Purple Heart Homes (PHH)

United Veteran Benefits Agency, LLC Veterans Guardian VA Claim Consulting LLC

Oppose Unless Amended

Veteran Benefits Guide